

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

4601 N Monroe Street • Spokane, Washington 99205-1295 • (509)329-3400

April 16, 2013

Mr. Kevin Wells, General Manager Vera Water & Power 601 North Evergreen Veradale, Washington 99037

Re:

Applications for Change to Ground Water Certificates Nos. 709-D, 710-D, 711-D,

896-D, 995-D and 626-A

Dear Mr. Wells:

The Department of Ecology has received a letter dated April 11, 2013 from Mr. Joseph G. Carroll (your representative) in which the Board of Directors of Vera Water & Power have determined that they would like to withdraw all of the above referenced applications.

Therefore, based on this request, the Applications for Change to Ground Water Certificates 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 896-D, 995-D and 626-A are all hereby **REJECTED**.

If you have any questions, please call me at 509-329-3566.

Sincerely,

Gene Drury

Water Resources Program

Eastern Regional Office

GD:md

cc: Joseph G. Carroll, P.S., 12929 East Sprague Ave, Spokane Valley, WA 99216

JOSEPH G. CARROLL, P.S.

12929 East Sprague Avenue Spokane Valley, Washington 99216 (509) 928-2345 FAX (509) 928-2348

JOSEPH G. CARROLL

APR 15 2013

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
EASTERN REGIONAL OFFICE

April 11, 2013

Mr. Gene Drury Water Resources Program Washington State Department of Ecology 4601 N. Monroe St. Spokane, WA 99205

RE: Vera Water and Power Pending Applications for Change on

Water Rights 709D, 710D, 711D, 896D, 626A and 995D

Dear Gene:

As you are aware, I represent Vera Water and Power.

At its April 2013 Board of Directors meeting, the Board of Directors of Vera Water and Power determined that they would like to withdraw all applications for change on Vera's water rights 709D, 710D, 711D, 896D, 626A and 995D. I believe that those are all the pending change applications. If I am incorrect in that assumption, please advise.

The management and Board of Directors of Vera Water and Power asked me to also express their appreciation for your processing the applications for change to date; however, they desire that the applications be withdrawn at this time.

Thank you for your assistance on this matter.

Sincerely yours,

JOSEPH G. CARROLL

JGC:mrb

CC:

Mr. Kevin Wells, General Manager, Vera Water and Power

Drury, Gene E. (ECY)

From:

Sent:

Drury, Gene E. (ECY) Monday, March 18, 2013 11:41 AM

To: Subject: 'jgcarroll@qwestoffice.net'

Vera

Joe, here's the information I have on the water use and well information for Vera. Please send me any updates and I will make the changes to my reports... Thanks,

Gene E. Drury

Water Resources Program 4601 N. Monroe Street Spokane, WA 99205 (509) 329-3566

VERA WATER & POWER - WATER PUMPED

YEAR	GALLONS	ACRE-FEET
2008	2,863,853,187	8,789
2009	3,054,246,664	9,373
2010	2,659,087,866	8,160
2011	2,698,118,254	8,280
2012	9	2

VERA WATER & POWER - WELL INFORMATION

PARAMETER	WELL #1	WELL #21	WELL #22	WELL #3	WELL #33
Unique Well ID#	AHC736	AAL532	AAL533	No tag	AHC733
Year drilled	1908	1994	1994	1949	1994
Depth	156'	265'	265'	175'	257'
Diameter	?	20"	20"	?	20"
Casing	135'	211'	211'	?	210'
SWL	112'	96'	96'	142'	142'
Well head elevation	2045'	2309'	2039'	2085'	2085'
GPM	3,000	3,000	2,500	5,000	800
Pump HP	(2) pumps; 350 & 75	300	250	(2) pumps; 150 ea.	100
Pump Type	Vertical Turbine	VT	VT	VT	VT

PARAMETER	WELL #4	WELL #5	WELL #6	WELL #7	WELL #8	WELL #9
Unique Well ID#	AHC731	ABR212	ABR588	AHC735	AHC730	ABR213
Year drilled	?	1950	1968	1967	?	?
Depth	160.5'	176′	160′	96'	210'	240'
Diameter	6'	?	24"	5'	20"	20"
Casing	?	150'	134'	92'	165'	190'
SWL	123'	143'	74'	77'	98'	98'
Well head elevation	2060'	2082'	2015'	2038'	2038'	2038'
GPM	1,200	2,000	4,000		3,800	3,300
Pump HP	150	250	500		400	400
Pump Type	VT	VT	VT	No pump	VT	VT

LAW OFFICES

JOSEPH G. CARROLL, P.S.

12929 East Sprague Avenue Spokane Valley, Washington 99216 (509) 928-2345 FAX (509) 928-2348

JOSEPH G. CARROLL

RECEIVED

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY EASTERN REGIONAL OFFICE

April 10, 2008

Mr. Gene Drury Washington State Department of Ecology Eastern Regional Office 4601 N. Monroe St. Spokane, WA 99205

RE: Vera Water and Power's Water Rights

Dear Gene:

This will confirm our telephone conversation on April 10, 2008.

The issue of Vera's pending applications for transfer of water rights was discussed at Vera's Board of Directors meeting on April 9, 2008.

Vera would like to review its own records. I anticipate recontacting you on the matter in approximately two months.

If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,

JOSEPH G. CARROLL

JGC:mrb

cc: Mr. Kevin Wells, General Manager, Vera Water and Power



STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

4601 N Monroe Street • Spokane, Washington 99205-1295 • (509)329-3400

MEMORANDUM WA State Department of Ecology Eastern Regional Office

Date: April 10, 2008

TO: VERA WATER & POWER - Water Right Change Files

FROM: Gene Drury - ERO/WR

SUBJECT: Vera Water Rights Total

The water rights currently held by Vera Water and Power are as follows: Ground Water Certificates Nos. 896-D, 995-D, 626-A, 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D, 713-D, 5471-A, 6672-A and G3-27084C. In 2004, Vera received authorization from the Water Resources Program to change Certificates 712-D, 713-D, 5471-A, 6672-A and G3-27084C. Vera is currently authorized to withdraw water from all existing eleven wells for municipal supply purposes under these rights. The total annual quantity is limited to 10081 acre-feet per year (af/yr). The remaining certificates currently have pending change applications on file with Water Resources.

Meetings were held on December 18, 2007 and January 15, 2008 with Joe Carroll, attorney for Vera Water & Power, at the Eastern Regional Office in Spokane. Mr. Carroll inquired about the possibility of additional acre-feet being available under the remaining existing water rights held by Vera.

Under the old Declarations filed by Vera, the water use was identified as domestic, industrial, fire protection and irrigation. Certificates issued in the amounts of 8333 af/yr for irrigation, 448 af/yr for domestic and 112 af/yr for industrial use. The total annual amount authorized was 8893 af/yr under these Declarations. In 1966, Vera received approval to change the purpose of use under Ground Water Certificate No. 713-D through Change Vol. 2, Page 897. This change authorized Vera to change the purpose of use to "municipal supply". The change authorized a total annual amount of 8893 acre-feet per year, less any amount withdrawn under Ground Water Certificates Nos. 709-D, 710-D, 711-D and 712-D. In 1985, Ground Water Certificate No. 712-D was changed through Certificate of Change No. 1-3-445. This change also authorized Vera to change the purpose of use to "municipal supply". The change contained the same provision as 713-D in that the total annual amount is limited to 8893 acre-feet per year, less any amount withdrawn under Declarations. Based on the changes made in purpose of use under these rights and the provision limiting them to 8893 af/yr, there does not appear to be additional water available for change under the remaining Declarations 709-D, 710-D and 711-D.

VERA WATER & POWER - Water Right Change Files April 10, 2008 Page 2 of 2

Mr. Carroll asked about increasing the 10081 af/yr limitation through Ground Water Certificates 5471-A and 6672-A. He thought maybe there was additional water available under these two rights. When Ground Water Certificate G3-27084C was issued, all municipal water rights were limited to a total annual quantity of 10081 af/yr. Certificates 5471-A and 6672-A were specifically issued for municipal supply purposes. The Report of Examination for G3-27084C listed the following as rights held by Vera: Certificates Nos. 626-A, 995-A 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D, 713-D, 5471-A, 6672-A and G3-27084C. It appears that at the time the report was written, the majority of water used by Vera was for municipal supply purposes as defined under RCW 90.03.015(4). Based on this, there would not be any additional water available under 5471-A and 6672-A. The only water right not listed in the Report of Examination for G3-27084C was Ground Water Certificate 896-D. There may be some water available for change under this right (up to 365 af/yr) but we would have to evaluate it. If this amount could be added to the existing Vera rights, it would bring the total annual quantity up to 10446 af/yr.

Ground Water Certificates 626-A and 995-D were limited to a combined total of 213 af/yr for the same lands, 58 acres of irrigation and domestic supply of 10 homes. The original place of use of these rights has now been developed into homes. There is also a church and baseball fields within the place of use. The water under these two rights is now being used for municipal supply purposes. It appears that Certificate G3-27084C recognized this and included Certificates 626-A and 995-D in the annual limitation of 10081 af/yr. Therefore, there would not be any additional water available for change under 626-A and 995-D to be added to the Vera municipal supply total.

In summary, of the remaining seven change applications, it appears that only one (896-D) may have additional water to be added to Vera's annual total of 10081 af/yr. I informed Mr. Carroll of my findings and he said he would discuss them with Vera. He said they would like more time to review this and would get back to us in about two months on whether to precede with the pending change applications.

GD: kla

Cc: File

Gallons Pumped by month for all Wells

	2007		Base Use	Irrigation Use
Jan	80,409,300		80,409,300	٠, ٥
Feb	79,778,160		79,778,160	0
March	90,146,072		90,146,072	0
April	171,554,984		87,097,068	84,457,916
May	360,494,024		87,097,068	273,396,956
June	478,163,502		87,097,068	391,066,434
July	549,639,672		87,097,068	462,542,604
Aug	668,956,431		87,097,068	581,859,363
Sep	428,361,120	1	87,097,068	341,264,052
Oct	176,159,184		87,097,068	89,062,116
Nov	91,841,808		91,841,808	0
Dec (Estimated)	93,310,000		93,310,000	0
	3,268,814,257		1,045,164,816	2,223,649,441
			31.97%	68.03%

DEAG		رق
PARTMENT O	Francis	pro-
N A	品	9
EGNT C		500
OF ECC	22	
COLO	8	S
ESL		[TV]
m <		57

	2006	Base Use	Irrigation Use
Jan	95,275,000	95,275,000	0
Feb	69,719,000	69,719,000	0
March	54,134,000	54,134,000	0
April	122,931,000	83,987,600	38,943,400
May	344,899,464	83,987,600	260,911,864
June	298,739,000	83,987,600	214,751,400
July	635,903,182	83,987,600	551,915,582
Aug	764,801,864	83,987,600	680,814,264
Sep	437,071,378	83,987,600	353,083,778
Oct	214,126,271	83,987,600	130,138,671
Nov	107,500,000	107,500,000	0
Dec	93,310,000	93,310,000	0
	3,238,410,159	1,007,851,200	2,230,558,959
		31.12%	68.88%

VERA 1	WATTER	· ROWED
USE.		ı
Rec'D	TODAY	From
Job CA	RROLL	
MEETING	o w/Dx	zury
0	era	•

	2005	Base Use	Irrigation Use
Jan	77,128,799	77,128,799	0
Feb	73,412,234	73,412,234	0
March	58,754,067	58,754,067	0
April	122,107,682	73,511,820	48,595,862
May	108,068,650	73,511,820	34,556,830
June	350,430,400	73,511,820	276,918,580
July	439,809,200	73,511,820	366,297,380
Aug	547,367,360	73,511,820	473,855,540
Sep	323,598,628	73,511,820	250,086,808
Oct	122,933,200	73,511,820	49,421,380
Nov	75,404,000	75,404,000	0
Dec	82,860,000	82,860,000	0
	2,381,874,220	882,141,840	1,499,732,380
		37.04%	62.96%

JOSEPH G. CARROLL
ATTORNEY AT LAW

JOSEPH G. CARROLL, P.S.

12929 E. Sprague Avenue
Spokane, Washington 99216
(509) 928-2345
FAX (509) 928-2348



STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

4601 N. Monroe Street • Spokane, Washington 99205-1295 • (509) 329-3400

October 26, 2004



Mr. Kevin M. Wells, General Manager Vera Water & Power 601 North Evergreen P.O. Box 630 Veradale, Washington 99037-0630

Dear Mr. Wells:

Re: Applications for Change/Transfer under Ground Water Certificates Nos. 626-A, 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 896-D and 995-D

As we discussed in our September 29, 2004 meeting, I am unable to continue processing your applications for change/transfer on the above referenced water rights. The above certificates were partially issued for agricultural irrigation use and at the time you were unable to provide information regarding water use for this purpose. We agreed to put these applications on hold until you could obtain more information regarding irrigated lands within the Vera service area.

At this time, we will skip the above referenced applications until you can provide the additional information. We will continue to proceed with processing your other applications in the area which were issued for municipal water supply purposes.

Screen Labora

If you have questions regarding this letter, please call me at (509) 329-3566.

Sincerely,

Gene Drury

Water Resources Program

Eastern Regional Office

GD:md

W: Drury/2004/Vera Water Dist. Skip letter 10-26-2004.doc





Description of proposal: Vera Water & Power proposes "consolidation of water rights" under applications for changes currently filed with the Department of Ecology. The applications include integration of existing wells, additional points of withdrawal, correct the location of existing wells, change purpose of use to "municipal supply" and change place of use to "area served by Vera Water & Power". The water rights proposed for change are recorded under Ground Water Certificates Nos. 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D(with certificate of change 1-3-445), 713-D(with certificate of change 897), 896-D, 995-A, 626-A, 5471-A, 6672 and G3-27084C. No withdrawal of water over and above what has been historically put to beneficial use and/or originally authorized under existing rights is being requested through these applications.

Proponent:

Vera Water & Power

601 N. Evergreen Road

P.O. Box 630

Veradale, WA 99037-0630

Location of proposal, including street address if any: Veradale, WA. The existing municipal wells are located as follows:

#1)NE¼SE¼, Sec. 15; #21) & #22)both in NE¼SE¼, Sec. 14; #3) & #33)both in SE¼SE¼, Sec. 22; #4)NE¼SW¼, Sec. 26; #5)NW¼NW¼, Sec. 26; #6)SE¼NE¼, Sec. 22; #7)NE½NW¼, Sec. 23; #8) & #9)both in NE¼SE¼, Sec. 23; ALL IN T. 25 N., R. 44 E.W.M. Any new sources are proposed to be constructed within the existing authorized legal descriptions as allowed under RCW 90.44.100

Lead agency: Washington State Department of Ecology

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

1		/	
	K		
/			-

There is no comment period for this DNS.

Responsible official: Keith Stoffel


Position/title: Section Manager, Water Resources Program, Department of Ecology, ERO

Address: N. 4601 Monroe, Spokane, WA 99205 Phone: (509) 329-3464

Date 10/20/04 Manufacture Signature



601 N. Evergreen Road P.O. Box 630 Veradale, WA 99037-0630 (509) 924-3800



October 4, 2004

Mr. Gene Drury Washington State Department of Ecology Water Resources Program Eastern Regional Office 4601 No. Monroe, Suite 202 Spokane, WA 99205-1295

Re: Water Right Consolidation

Dear Gene:

Enclosed is the copy of the SEPA checklist that you needed to proceed with our application.

If there is anything else I can do to assist with this proposal, please let me know.

Sincerely,


Vera Water and Power

Kevin M. Wells

General Manager

WAC 197-11-960 Environmental checklist.





Purpose of checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply." IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: Consolidation of Water Rights

2. Name of applicant: Vera Irrigation District No. 15 (District)

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person: Kevin Wells

P.O. Box 630

Veradale, WA 99037

509-924-3800

4. Date checklist prepared:

October 4, 2004

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Washington State Department of Ecology

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Fall 2004

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

In addition to consolidation of the water rights, there is a question of existing agriculture use of water within the district. As a separate project, we will try to identify this use later in this year.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

Check lists have been prepared dealing with this issue in 1997 and earlier.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

No

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

The Washington State Department of Ecology acts on the applications that have been filed regarding the consolidation of water rights..

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

Vera Irrigation District has applied to the Washington State Department of Ecology to consolidate the Distric's water rights, identify current withdrawal rights and future plans.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The water rights are for the area service by Vera Irrigation District No. 15.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

- B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS
- 1. Earth
- a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other Non-Project Action
- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? Non-Project Action.
- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Non-Project Action

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed.
 Indicate source of fill.

None

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

No construction is planned.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

No construction is planned.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

No construction is planned.

- 2. Air
- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

None.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

None Required.

- 3. Water
- a. Surface:
 - Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

No

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

No

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No

b. Ground:

1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

This application applies to the water that the District withdraws for use currently.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

None

- c. Water runoff (including stormwater):
 - Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow?
 Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

None

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

None

. Plants
. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:
deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
shrubs
grass
pasture
crop or grain
wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
other types of vegetation
What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

None

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

None

5. Animals

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other: mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

No

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

None

6. Energy and natural resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Not Applicable

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

Not Applicable

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Not Applicable

7. Environmental health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Not Applicable

1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

Not Applicable

2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

None

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Not Applicable

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

None

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

None

- 8. Land and shoreline use
- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Non-Project Action

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

No

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Not Applicable.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Non-Project Action.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

None

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

No

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Not Required

- I. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:
- 9. Housing
- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

Not Applicable

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None

- 11. Light and glare
- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

None

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None

- 12. Recreation
- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

None

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

None

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

No

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

None

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

Not Required

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Not Applicable

b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Not Applicable

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

None

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None

15. Public services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

None

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other. Cable TV
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Non-Project Action.

C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature:

Date Submitted: October 4, 2004

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

(do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The proposal is to consolidate the existing water rights for the District, to define those rights and to identify future withdrawal points. No additional withdrawals are being requested at this time, only the identification of the existing rights.

As this is a non-project action, no environmental impacts from construction are contemplated. The future applications for well drilling or additional water withdrawals will require their own SEPA determinations.

Future action on the agricultural water rights of the district will be by separate application and environmental review.

EVALUATION FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

None

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

No affect is anticipated.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

None

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

It would not.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

None

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

It would not.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

None

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

It would not.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

None

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

It would not.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATION FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

None

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

No conflicts are anticipated.

Mr. Scott Torpie Washington State Department of Health Water Supply and Waste Unit 1500 West 4th Ave. - Suite 305 Spokane, WA 99204 Environmental Health Spokane Regional Health District 1101 West College Avenue Spokane, WA 99260

Mr. Ross Kelley County Engineer Spokane County Engineering Division 1026 West Broadway Spokane, WA 99260-0040

Mr. Bruce Rawls, Director Spokane County Utilities Division 1026 West Broadway Spokane, WA 99260-0040

Mr. Jim Falk Current Planning Spokane County Planning Department 1026 West Broadway Spokane, WA 99260-0040

Ms. Susan Winchell, Planner Boundary Review Board 721 North Jefferson St. - Room 401 Spokane, WA 99260-0040


Washington State Department of Ecology Environmental Review Section Mail Stop PV-11 Olympia, WA 97504-8711

Mr. Scott Kuhta Long Range Planning Spokane County Planning Department 1026 West Broadway Spokane, WA 99260-0040


Mr. Gene Drury
Washington State Department of Ecology
Water Resources Program
Eastern Regional Office
4601 No. Monroe, Suite 202
Spokane, WA 99205-1295

896-D T25N/R44E Sect-22





SW



DEPARTMENT OF CONSCIVATION & DEVELOPMENT

Show by a cross (X) the location of the well or other works covered by the application or declaration. Show by circle (O) the locations of other wells or works within a quarter of a mile. Also traveling directions from hearest town on main highway.

Scale: 1 inch = 1.00 feet.

Committed on afe

Declaration #997 of Vera Irrigation District.

VERA WATER & POWER

10

9/29/04

- #1) 1 WELL (2) PUMPS UT PUMPS @ SHOP/OFFICE Flowwaters - vaulty : 350 HP, 75 HP (3000 Gem) AHC736 1908 100' N. 47.66273 W.117.21837 MCS 1-3
- #2 (#21, #22) 2 WELLS. @ SULLIVAN

 N. 47.66318, W. 117.19900

 AAL 532 (300 MP) WELL #21

 AAL 533 (250 M) WELL #22 1946 Fai-Sanls-Movis -2

 1992-93 (1000) TO THIS SITE. PICS 4-5

 (SEE MARKER OUT) SULLIVAN KUAS CONST.
- (\$6) WELL #6) #ABR 588 US MOTORS VT 500 HP pic 6,7 N. 47. 65116, W. 117.21929 (4000 Gpm)
- #3 (#3, #33) (2) WELLS Q EVENGREEN & 16+4, pics 8,9,10 WELL 3 N. 47,64247, W.117.21832 pics 8,9,10 2 pumps 176' SWL HAMD DUG. (5,000 GPM)
 150 HP CA. VT. GE NO TAGE
 WELL 33 N. 47,64265 W 117.21876 pic 11,12
 AHC 733 US MOTORS VT 100 HP. (800 GPM)
- #4) 1 WELL HAND DUG VT 150 HP US MOTERS (ADAM! + 24/4)

 AHC 731 (1200 GPM)

 N.47.63496 W 117.20766 pies 13,14,15

15 1 WELL @ 16 on AVE.

N. 47. 64-223 W. 117. 21573

1 pump US MOSS UT 25 - (2000 GPM)

ABR 212 pus 16:17

#7 1 WELL @ 2NS X BEST NOT USED AT 7415 TIME NO PUMP ANC 735 pic 19,19
N. 47.65503 W17. 21238

#8 (2) WELLS @ 8th ANT pro #20 #50 WELL N. 47.64937 W. 117.19893 US MOTORS UT 400 4P (3300 GM) #AHC730


> # 9 NEW pre 20 1946 GE 400 HP # ABR 213 N 47. 64923 W17. 19990

E) RESETTIONS.

- 1) NESE 15
- (ZI) Në SE 14
- 22) NESE 14
- (3) SESE 22
- (33) SESE 22
 - (4) NE SW 26
 - (5) NWNW 26
 - (6) SENE 22
 - 1) NENW 23
 - @ NESE 23
 - (9) NE SE 23


9/29/04 (40

VERA



(2) PUMPS: 1) 350 HP 2) 75 HP

78# AHC 736




WELL #1 @ OFFICE

NEVASE14, SEC. 15, 25/44

AHC 736


9/29/04 G.D.

VERA




300 H.M. ID # AAL 532


250 H.P. FD # AAL 533



WELLS # 21, #22 @ NEYASE/A, SEC. 14, 25/44



2 Purys 1) 150 H.P. 2) 750 A.P.




WELL #3 NO TAG

@ SE14SE14 SEC. 22, 25/44


9/29/04 61.0.

VERA



100 H.P.


ID # AHC 733



WELL #33 @ SE/ASE/A SEC. 22, 25/44 # AHC 733


9/29/04 G.D.

VERA




150 H.P.

AHC 731




WELL #4 @ NE/45W/4, SEC. 26, 25/44 # AHC 731




250 H.P.


ID # ABR 212




WELL #5 @ NW/4 NW/4, Sec. 26, 25/44 #ABR 212



500 H.P. 70# ABR588




WELL#6 @ SE/ANE/A, SEC. 22, 25/44 #ABR 588




NO PUMP

ID # AH735



WELL #7 @ NE/4NW/4, Sec. 23, 25/44 #AHC735




WELL #8 - 400 H.P.

IO # AHC 730



WELL #8 @ NE/4 SE/A, SEC. 23, 25/44 #AHC 730




WELL #9 - 400 H.P.

ID# ABR 213



WELL #9 @ NE/4SE/4, SEC 23, 25/44 # ABR 213





STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

4601 N. Monroe Street * Spokane, Washington 99205-1295 * (509) 456-2926

August 31, 2001

Mr. Kevin M. Wells, General Manager Vera Water and Power 601 North Evergreen Road P.O. Box 630 Veradale, Washington 99037-0630

COPY

Dear Mr. Wells:

RE:

Applications for Change under Ground Water Certificate No's.

709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D (w/Cert. of Change No. 1-3-445), 713-D (w/Cert. of Change No. 897), 896-

D, 995-D, 626-A, 5471-A and 6672-A

16th Road Widening – CRP 2791 – Spokane County Public Works Department

WRIA 57 - Spokane County

I am writing this letter in response to your letter dated July 16, 2001 regarding the proposal by the Spokane County Engineer's Department to conduct road and sidewalk work adjacent to Vera Irrigation District No. 15's well numbers 3 and 33 sites which are located within the SE¼SE¼ of Sec. 22, T. 25 N., R. 44 E.W.M., Spokane County, Washington.

I have reviewed the proposal to abandon the four (4) drywells located at the intersection of 16th and Evergreen and replace them with catch basins which will move the drywells and stormwater beyond the 100 foot radius around the well required by the Department of Health. The Department of Ecology Water Resources Program has no concerns regarding this proposal and does not have any objections.

The pending applications for change for the District's existing water right certificates to integrate the system for a total of 21 wells including changes in place of use and purpose of use will not be affected by the above described project. The Department of Ecology has no legal authority involving any property and easements granted between the District and Spokane County.

You will need to directly contact the State Department of Health to ensure that they do not have any issues or objections to the proposed project. If you have any other questions, feel free to contact me on my direct phone line at (509) 456-6188.

Sincerely, indy A. Christian

Cindy A. Christian

Processing Unit Supervisor


Water Resources Program

CAC:kay

w:Chrisitan/2001/Vera x10 chg apps 8-31-2001.doc

Mr. Gary S. Nelson, P.E., Plans and Contract Engineer, 1026 W Broadway Ave, Spokane, WA 99260-0170





601 N. Evergreen Road P.O. Box 630 Veradale, WA 99037-0630 (509) 924-3800

July 16, 2001

Ms. Cindy Christian
Water Resources Program
Washington State Department of Ecology
Eastern Regional Office
4601 No. Monroe, Suite 202
Spokane, WA 99205-1295

Re: Vera Well Site

Dear Cindy:

Enclosed please find a proposal by the Spokane County Engineers for road and sidewalk work adjacent to our Well No.3 and 33 sites. This work requires that we sell property and grant easements to the County at this site.

Our Board has requested that you review this proposal to insure that there is no risk to our Operating Permit or other DOH requirements if the work proceeds. The Board is willing to actively oppose any proposal that threatens the District's ability to supply safe water and willing to support the proposed improvements if they meet all regulatory requirements.

We believe that the elimination of four drywells within 100 feet of the well and the proposed drainage design provide substantial improvement in the protection of the water supply. No significant operating problems will arise from this project.

If there are items or issues that need to be addressed further, please let me know.

If the proposal does not raise any issues with DOH, could you please let us know. Our Board would appreciate a written response. Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

CC.

VERA WATER AND POWER

Kevin M. Wells General Manager

Gary Nelson, Spokane County Engineers

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING AND ROADS

A DIVISION OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

July 12, 2001

Vera Water and Power 601 N. Evergreen Road P.O. Box 630 Veradale, WA 99037-0630

RE: 16th Road Widening CRP 2791

ATTN: Kevin Wells, General Manager

Dear Kevin.

Thank you for meeting with us today at your 16th and Evergreen well. Enclosed please find a plan that incorporates the items we discussed today. These are as follows:

- 1. The sidewalk is located behind the trees from the west property boundary to the well access road. The sidewalk then transitions to the curb in front of the A.J. Dhaenens well.
- 2. The curb and sidewalk are extended north along Evergreen to the north property boundary.
- 3. The Right of Way needed along 16th from Vera has been reduced from 10 feet to five feet in the area of separated sidewalk.
- 4. The four drywells in the intersection of 16th and Evergreen will be abandoned, and replaced with catch basins. The storm drainage will be piped to the County grassed percolation area to the east. This will move these four drywells and stormwater beyond the 100 foot radius around the well as required by the Department of Health.
- 5. Spokane County will bring the top of the round flow control structure up to grade behind the sidewalk on Evergreen. Spokane County will replace the round metal cover with a traffic rated cover.

This plan is provided to you to document our agreements reached today. We understand you will send this plan to the Departments of Health and Ecology for their approval. If you have any other questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Ross E. Kelley, P.E.

County Engineer

Gary S. Nelson, P.E.

Plans and Contract Engineer

GSN/krf

Enc.





STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

4601 N. Monroe, Suite 202 • Spokane, Washington 99205-1295 • (509) 456-2926

July 13, 2000

Ms. Michele Vazquez, Regional Planner WA Department of Health 1500 West 4th Avenue, Suite 305 Spokane, WA 99024

Dear Ms. Vazquez:

RE: Water System Plan (WSP) for Vera Water & Power

I have reviewed the above referenced water system plan and water rights for Vera Water and Power. Ecology recommends WSP approval with the attached comments regarding the water rights:

The total authorized quantities under existing water rights for Vera Water and Power is 10,081 acre-feet per year for municipal supply. The last certificate issued, Ground Water Certificate G3-27084C, contained the following provision, "Total annual water supply authorized under this certificate and all other existing district water rights for municipal supply shall not exceed 10,081 acre-feet per year." The existing rights subject to this provision are: Ground Water Certificates 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D (with certificate of change 1-3-445), 713-D (with certificate of change 897), 896-D, 995-A, 626-A, 5471-A and 6672. The WSP appendix failed to include copies of Certificate 896-D, 995-A, 5471-A and Certificate of Change 897.

The WSP needs to include copies of well logs for all the wells owned and operated by Vera Water and Power. It would be very helpful if Table 4-2 included the exact location of each well from the nearest section corner along with the 1/41/4, Section, Township and Range in addition to the street address provided.

On March 7, 1997, Vera made applications for change to Ecology on the above referenced certificates. These applications have <u>not</u> been approved. Vera has applied for a total of twenty-one (21) wells to be integrated under all existing rights including changes in purpose of use and place of use. A detailed investigation and evaluation of Vera's water rights will need to be made to determine if these changes can be approved.

Ms. Michele Vazque, Regional Planner WA Department of Health Page 2
July 13, 2000

It appears that as of 1997, Vera was under its authorized acre-footage. In March of 1997, Vera provided the Department with meter data from 1985 to 1997. I did not find this information in the WSP. This data, along any new meter readings should be included in the plan. The plan indicated that new meters were purchased in 1998 for all the major pump stations and were to be installed in 1999. Have these meters been installed? Information about these pump station flow meters should be included in future plans (i.e. install date, type of meter, manufacturer, maintenace schedule, etc.).

A Supreme Court decision (Ecology v. George Theodoratus), could have an affect on Vera's water rights. The court's ruling creates considerable doubt as to the status of unused or inchoate water rights. Ecology has prepared a draft policy on how to implement the court's ruling as it relates to public water suppliers. A copy of this draft policy can be made available upon request.

The WSP predicts future water use to be as high as 3,700 MG or 11,355 acre-feet of water by the year 2017 for the Vera service area. Since there are no guarantees that new permits would even be approved for additional ground water in this area and the fact that Vera is limited to 10,081 acre-feet, the plan should include several valid alternatives for obtaining water other than by means of new water right permits being issued by Ecology.

At this time, I can not predict when the Department will be able to make a decision on Vera's pending change applications due to ongoing watershed planning in Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 57, Middle Spokane. For more information about the planning process and ongoing activities within this area, Vera should can Doug Allen with Ecology at (509) 625-5344.

If you have any questions regarding this letter or Vera's water rights, please call me at (509) 456-7661 or Cindy Christian at (509) 456-6188.

Gene Drury

Sincerely

Water Resources Program

GD:kay

w:GD/Vera WSP.doc

cc: Larry Biggs, Womer & Associates Inc., 723 North Crestline Street, Spokane, WA 99202

Kevin Wells, Vera Water and Power, 601 North Evergreen RD, PO Box 630 Veradale, WA 99037-0630

Womer & Associates, Inc.

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DELIVER TO:

FROM:



Name: Gene Drury	Name: BRIAN CLARK Womer & Associates
Firm: State Dept Ecology	Transmitted By:
Location:	Time: 12pm
	Date: 1/7/2000
Facsimile No.: 456-6175	Womer Project Number: /32-00/
TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET:	

If you do not receive all pages transmitted, please call (509) 534-4884 as soon as possible.

NOTES:

Flow meter program completed in 1999.


Prior to 1999, Vera flow readings were based on pump run time and conversion from Kw-hrs to gallons/hr...

Future flows are estimated using a 2.1% growth rate.

-B. Clark

723 N. Crestline, Spokane, Wa 99202 • Tel. 509/534-4884 • Fax 509/534-4943

5095344943



Y	ear	Gal/yr		
	1976	2,380,000,000	Est.	
	1977	2,626,000,000	Est.	
	1978	2,480,000,000	Est.	
	1985	2,425,995,000	Est.	
	1986	2,416,442,500	Est.	
	1987	2,403,147,300	Est.	
	1988	2,298,448,150	Est.	
	1989	2,127,504,200	Est.	
	1990	2,037,389,600	Est.	
	1991	2,398,292,300	Est.	
	1992	2,252,399,300	Est.	
	1993	2,068,056,643	Est.	
	1994	2,787,281,156	Est.	
	1995	2,195,197,238	Est.	
	1996	2,371,358,071	Est.	
	1997	2,448,940,000	Est.	
	1998	2,500,000,000	Est.	7672
	1999	2,679,183,000	Metered	8222
	2005	2,891,905,400	Est.	3875
-	2019	3,868,517,000	Est.	11.872
				_

VERA WATER & POWER (according to actual certificates)

RGE	1				- 4						Γ	
	44	4	4	4	44	44	4	44	44	44	44	4 4 4
TWP	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25 25
SEC	15	77	13	26	26	. 22	23	23	26	22	26;	, 2, 2, 5, 2, 2, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5,
LOCATION	NE%SE%	SEKSEK	Both in NW4SW4	NE¼SW¼	NWKNWK	SEVINWA	NEKANWK	NE!XNW!	Tract A, Block 6, Plat of Lemon Air Park Addition	SE%SE%NE%	#4) NE/SW1/4;	#6) NE%SE%, #6) SE%SE%NE%; #9) NE%SE%;
WELL(s)	(1) Well	(I) Well	(2) Wells	(1) Well	(1) Well	(1) Well	(1) Well	(I) Well	(I) Well	(I) Well)(4) Wells	
a B	8893*	8893*	8893*	8893*	*863*	365	213	203	3360	3640	10081	N R
a	7100	6300	0009	3400	1400	1100	300	300	3100	4000	13400	
PURPOSE	Fire protection, industrial, irrigation and domestic supply	Fire protection, industrial, irrigation and domestic supply	Industrial, irrigation, fire protection and domestic supply	Municipal Supply	Municipal Supply	Domestic supply and irrigation of 115 acres	Irrigation and domestic use	Irrigation and domestic supply	Municipal Supply	Municipal Supply (4/1 to 9/30)	Municipal Supply	
PRIORITY	1/1/1908	1/1/1909	1/1/1910	1/1/1913	1/1/1913	6/1/1920	1/1/1922	8/7/1950	1/27/1966	12/27/1967	8/5/1981	
NAME	Vera Irrigation District #15	Vera Irrigation District #15	Vera Irrigation District #15	Vera Irrigation District #15	Vera Irrigation District #15	Vera Irrigation Co., Inc.	Manos	Manos	Vera Irrigation District #15	Vera Irrigation District #15	Vera Irrigation	District #13
CERT.#	709-D	711-D	710-D	712-D (1-3-445)	713-D (897)	П-968	995-A	626-A	5471-A	6672	G3-27084C	

* Ground Water Certificates 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D(1-3-445), 713-D(897) are limited to a combined total of 8893 acre-feet per year.

(SEE PROVISIONS OF 63-27084C)





STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

4601 N. Monroe, Suite 202 • Spokane, Washington 99205-1295 • (509) 456-2926

November 19, 1998

Ms. Michelle Vazquez, Regional Planner WA Department of Health Drinking Water 1500 West 4th Avenue, Suite 305 Spokane, WA 99204

Dear Ms. Vazquez:

RE: Water System Plan for Vera Water & Power, Spokane County (WRIA 57)

I have reviewed the above referenced water system plan and offer the following comments regarding the water rights:

- The plan does not include much water use data. This plan needs to show the annual production, consumption and lost or unaccounted for water from each well/source. Without accurate metered data, the Department can not evaluate the status of Vera's water rights.
- 2. Several of Vera's water rights are supplemental rights and it appears that the plans instantaneous quantity (Qi) of 36,200 gallons per minute is not correct. I can not tell how this number was determined.
- 3. The Maximum Instantaneous Demand (MID) in Section 3-2 equals 103,000 gallons per minute. If correct, this would far exceed the certificate quantities.

Vera Water & Power should contact Cindy Christian at (509) 456-6188 to set up a meeting for early 1999 to determine the status of their water rights and then make the appropriate corrections to the water system plan.

300 TO 100

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please call me at (509) 456-7661.

Gene Drury

Water Resources Program

Cc: Vera Water & Power

Womer & Associates, Inc.



SUPERIOR COUR. JF WASHINGTON FOR SPOKAN COUNTY

In the Matter

NOTICE OF APPLICATIONS FOR CHANGE OF WATER RIGHTS

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)ss.

No.

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLISHING GIONAL OFFICE
NOTICE

MICHAEL HUFFMAN , being first duly sworn on oath deposes and says that he is the MANAGING EDITOR , of The Valley News Herald, a weekly newspaper. That said newspaper is a legal newspaper and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of the publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continually as a weekly newspaper in Spokane County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of said newspaper, which said newspaper had been approved as a legal newspaper by order of the Superior Court of the State of Washington in and for Spokane County. That the following is a true copy of a public notice as it was published in regular issues commencing on the 30th day of January, 1998, and ending on the 6th day of February, 1998, both dates inclusive, and that such newspaper was regularly distributed to its subscribers during all of said period:

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

NOTICE OF APPLICATIONS FOR CHANGE OF WATER RIGHTS UNDER ONE (1) GROUND WATER PERMIT AND TEN (10) GROUND WATER CERTIFICATES

TAKE NOTICE:

County of Spokane

That Vera Irrigation District No. 15 of Veradale, Washington has made applications for change of water rights in order to integrate their water system by adding existing and new points of withdrawal, correct the location of several wells, change the purpose of use and place of use as granted under Ground Water Permit No. 63-27084P and Ground Water Certificates Nos. 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D (together with Certificate of Change No. 1-3-451, 713-D (together with Certificate of Change No. 897), 896-D, 995-D, 5471-A and 6672-A. That the total annual quantity authorized under existing water rights is 10081 acre-feet per year.

The following water rights and legal descriptions are ALL located in Township 25 N., Range 44 E.W.M., Spokane County, Washington:

G3-27084P authorizes 13400 gallons per minute and 10081 acre-feet per year, continuously, for municipal supply. The present points of withdrawal are four (4) wells located as follows: #4) NE1/4SW1/4, Sec. 26; #6) SE1/4SE1/4, Sec. 22; #8) NE1/4SE1/4, Sec. 23; #9) NE1/4SE1/4, Sec. 23.

709-D authorizes 7100 gallons per minute, 8893 acre-feet per year (less amount withdrawn from wells under Declarations 694, 695, 696 and 697) for the purpose of continuous domestic supply, fire protection, industrial and seasonal irrigation. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #1) NE1/45E1/4, Sec. 15.

710-D authorizes 6000 gallons per minute, 8993 acre-feet per year (less amount withdrawn from wells under Declarations 693, 695, 696 and 697) for the purpose of continuous domestic supply, fire protection, industrial and seasonal irrigation. The present points of withdrawal are two (2) wells located as follows: #21) NE1/4SE1/4, Sec. 14, (NOTE: The location of

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 6th day of February, 1998

State of Washington County of Spokane

I certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that Michael Huffman is the person who appeared before me, and said person acknowledged that he signed this instrument and acknowledged it to be his free and voluntary act for the uses and purposes mentioned in the instrument.

Kristen Koestel

Title: Notary Public

My appointment expires: 11-19-98

AL WIT

withdrawal is a well located as follows: #3) SE1/4SE1/4, Sec. 22.

712-D(1-3-445) authorizes 3400 gallons per minute, 893 acre-leet per year (less amount withdrawn from wells under Declarations 693, 694, 695 and 697) for the purpose of seasonal municipal supply. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #4) NE1/4SW1/4, Sec. 26.

713-D(897) authorizes 1400 gallons per minute, 893 acre-teet per year (less amount withdrawn from wells under Declarations 693, 694, 695 and 696) for the purpose of seasonal municipal supply. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #5) NW1/4NW1/4, Sec. 26.

896-D authorizes 1100 gallons per minute, 365 acre-feet per year for the purpose of continuous domestic supply and seasonal irrigation of 115 acres. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #6) SE1/4NE1/4, Sec. 22. (NOTE: The location of this well was incorrectly described as being in the SE1/4NW1/6 of Sec. 22). The present place of use is land which is located in Sec.

995-D authorizes 300 gallons per minute, 213 acre-feet per year for the purpose of continuous domestic supply and seasonal irrigation of 58 acres. The present point of with-drawal is a well located as follows: #7) NE1/4NW1/4, Sec. 23. The present place of use is: That part of S1/2NE1/4NW1/4 lying south of C.M. St. P. & I. R.R. and SE1/4NW1/4; all in Sec. 23.

626-A authorizes 300 gallons per minute. 203 acre-feet per year for the purpose of con-tinuous domestic supply and seasonal irriga-tion of 58 acres. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #7) NE1/4NW1/4, Sec. 23. The present place of use is: That part of S1/2NE1/4NW1/4 lying south of C.M. St. P. & I. R.R. and SE1/4NW1/4; all in Sec. 23.

5471-A authorizes 3100 gallons per minute, 3360 acre-feet per year (560 af/yr primary; 2800 af/yr supplemental) for the purpose of zero arry supplemental for the purpose of continuous municipal supply. This certificate was issued as supplemental supply to GW Certificates Nos. 709-D, 710-D, 710-D, and 712-D(897). The present point of withdrawal is a well located as (follows: #5) Tract A of Block 6, Plat of Lemon Air Park in the NW1/4NW1/4, Sec. 26. The present place of use is the Community of Veradale.

6672-A authorizes 4000 gallons per minute, 3640 acre-feet per year for the purpose of municipal supply, continuously, from April 1 to September 30, each year. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #6 SE1/4NE1/4, Sec. 22. The present place of the second secon use is the Community of Veradale.


NOTE: Some of the above-described instantaneous and annual quantities are supplemental to other rights.

That the present place of use under Certificates Nos. 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D (1-3-445) and 713-D(897) is: Vera Irrigation District #15, Spokane County, Washington.

That they propose to change the purpose of use under 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 896-D, 626-A and 995-A to continuous municipal supply (EXCEPT for any seasonal irrigation use which will be changed to seasonal municipal

That they propose to change the place of use under all existing water rights to: Area served by Vera Irrigation District No. 15.

Protests or objections to approval of this application must include a detailed statement of the basis for objection; protests must be accompanied by a two (\$2.00) dollar fee and filed with the Department of Ecology, Eastern Washington Regional Office, N. 4601 Monroe, Suite 202, Spokane, WA 99205-1295, within thirty (30) days from February 6, 1998







































































































































7

if

1

3









STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

4601 N. Monroe, Suite 202 • Spokane, Washington 99205-1295 • (509) 456-2926

January 15, 1998

Mr. Kevin Wells Vera Irrigation District No. 15 601 North Evergreen Veradale, WA 99037

Dear Mr. Wells:

Re: Application for Change under Ground Water Permit No. G3-27084P and Ground Water Certificate Nos. 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D with Change No. 1-3-445, 713-D (with Change No. 897), 896-D, 995-D, 5471-A and 6672-A

Enclosed is a notice of your applications which must be published <u>once a week for two</u> <u>consecutive weeks</u> in the Spokesman-Review or Valley Herald published in Spokane County as provided in RCW 90.03.280. These newspapers have general circulation in the locality where the water is to be appropriated and used and are qualified as legal newspapers as provided in Chapter 65.16 RCW.

Please draw to the publisher's attention that the actual date of the <u>second</u> publication must appear in the space in the notice over the caption "last date of publication."

To assure accuracy, it is the responsibility of the applicant to check the notice carefully before having it published. If an error is detected, do not submit the notice for publication, but refer the error to this office for correction and/or resolution.

Please provide us with the <u>original notarized affidavit</u> of that publication. Publication should start within thirty (30) days and the affidavit must be received in this office within sixty (60) days from the date of this letter or rejection will be initiated.

C S

Gene Drury

Sincerel

Water Resources Program

GD:mjw Enclosures





STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

4601 N. Monroe, Suite 202 • Spokane, Washington 99205-1295 • (509) 456-2926

March 30, 1995

Kevin M. Wells, General Manager Vera Water and Power P. O. Box 630 Veradale, WA 99037-0630

Dear Kevin:

Re: Applications for Change - Vera Irrigation District No. 15

I am writing this letter to document our discussions during the recent meetings at this office. eleven (11)

Vera Irrigation District currently holds ten (10) water right certificates which authorize withdrawal of ground water from eleven (11) wells. Two of the original wells located in Section 13, Township 25 N., Range 44 E.W.M. have been abandoned due to the expansion of Sullivan Road. Three new replacement wells located within the NE4SE4 of Section 14, Township 25 N., Range 44 E.W.M. have been drilled and will be tied in with the existing system in April of 1995. Under separate cover, we are sending a seasonal change to authorize use of these wells.

Ground Water Certificate No. G3-27084C was issued on March 30, 1993 in the amount of 13,400 gallons per minute, 10,081 acre feet per year for continuous municipal supply. The Report of Examination for G3-27084 issued in 1986 stated that the district projected an estimated population of 30,000 in 20 years. The 10,081 acre feet per year was the calculated total annual allotment based on the 20 year population rate. Ground Water Certificate No. G3-27084C should not have been issued until the year 2006.

Therefore, it was agreed that the Department will issue an Order of Recision of Ground Water Certificate No. G3-27084C. Ground Water Certificate G3-27084C will be put back into permit status with a development schedule requiring that water be put to full beneficial use by the year 2006. The Proof of Appropriation filed on January 20, 1993 will be withdrawn. The statutory extension fee for Proof of Appropriation is \$5.00 per year. The original proof was due on or before April 1, 1993. A total fee of \$65.00 is due to extend the due date from April 1, 1993 to April 1, 2006.

SENDER:

- Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.
- · Complete items 3, and 4a & b.
- · Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can
- return this card to you.

 Attach this form to 1 . Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space does not permit.
- following services (for an extra 1. Addressee's Address

I also wish to receive the

Kevin M. Wells Page 2 March 30, 1995

The District's existing eleven wells are located as follows:

Two wells located within the NE\sE\delta of Section 14
One well located within the NE\delta SE\delta of Section 15
Two wells located within the SE\delta SE\delta of Section 22
One well located within the SE\delta NE\delta of Section 22
Two wells located within the NE\delta SE\delta of Section 23
One well located within the NE\delta NU\delta of Section 23
One well located within the NE\delta NE\delta Of Section 26
One well located within the NE\delta SU\delta of Section 26;
all being within T. 25 N., R. 44 E.W.M.

The District proposes to drill up to ten additional points of withdrawal to be located as follows:

Four wells to be located within the NE 1 SE 1 of Section 14 Two wells to be located within the SE 1 SE 1 of Section 22 Three wells to be located within the SE 1 NE 1 of Section 22 One well to be located within the NE 1 SE 1 of Section 23; all being within T. 25 N., R. 44 E.W.M.

It was also agreed during our last meeting that you would submit applications for change for all ten certificates of water right to add all the wells to each right in order to integrate the entire system. The applications for change already on file can be amended to include all the wells. I would also recommend that you submit a new application at the same time for any anticipated future expansion beyond April 1, 2006. All of the existing wells and any new wells must be equipped with measuring devices in good working order.

I will send the recision for Ground Water Certificate No. G3-27084C under separate cover. Please contact me when you are ready to schedule a meeting to fill out all the paperwork for the applications for change. If you have any questions, please call me at (509) 456-6188.

Sincerely

Cindy A. Christian

Shorelands and Water Resources Program

CAC: aal

cc: Larry Biggs, Bovay Engineers

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY



NOTICE OF APPLICATIONS FOR CHANGE OF WATER RIGHTS UNDER ONE (1) GROUND WATER PERMIT AND TEN (10) GROUND WATER CERTIFICATES

TAKE NOTICE:

That Vera Irrigation District No. 15 of Veradale, Washington has made applications for change of water rights in order to integrate their water system by adding existing and new points of withdrawal, correct the location of several wells, change the purpose of use and place of use as granted under Ground Water Permit No. G3-27084P and Ground Water Certificates Nos. 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D(together with Certificate of Change No. 1-3-445), 713-D(together with Certificate of Change No. 897), 896-D, 995-D, 5471-A and 6672-A. That the total annual quantity authorized under existing water rights is 10081 acre-feet per year.

The following water rights and legal descriptions are ALL located in Township 25 N., Range 44 E.W.M., Spokane County, Washington:

G3-27084P authorizes 13400 gallons per minute and 10081 acre-feet per year, continuously, for municipal supply. The present points of withdrawal are four (4) wells located as follows: #4) NE½SW¼, Sec. 26; #6) SE½NE¼, Sec. 22; #8) NE½SE¼, Sec. 23; #9) NE½SE¼, Sec. 23.

709-D authorizes 7100 gallons per minute, 8893 acre-feet per year (less amount withdrawn from wells under Declarations 694, 695, 696 and 697) for the purpose of continuous domestic supply, fire protection, industrial and seasonal irrigation. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #1) NE½SE½, Sec. 15.

710-D authorizes 6000 gallons per minute, 8893 acre-feet per year (less amount withdrawn from wells under Declarations 693, 695, 696 and 697) for the purpose of continuous domestic supply, fire protection, industrial and seasonal irrigation. The present points of withdrawal are two (2) wells located as follows: #21) NE¹/₄SE¹/₄, Sec. 14; #22) NE¹/₄SE¹/₄, Sec. 14. (NOTE: The location of these wells was incorrectly described as being in the NW¹/₄SW¹/₄ of Sec. 13).

711-D authorizes 6300 gallons per minute, 8893 acre-feet per year (less amount withdrawn from wells under Declarations 693, 694, 695 and 697) for the purpose of continuous domestic supply, fire protection, industrial and seasonal irrigation. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #3) SE¹/₄SE¹/₄, Sec. 22.

712-D(1-3-445) authorizes 3400 gallons per minute, 8893 acre-feet per year (less amount withdrawn from wells under Declarations 693, 694, 695 and 697) for the purpose of seasonal municipal supply. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #4) NE¹/₄SW¹/₄, Sec. 26.

713-D(897) authorizes 1400 gallons per minute, 8893 acre-feet per year (less amount withdrawn from wells under Declarations 693, 694, 695 and 696) for the purpose of seasonal municipal supply. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #5) NW1/4NW1/4, Sec. 26.

896-D authorizes 1100 gallons per minute, 365 acre-feet per year for the purpose of continuous domestic supply and seasonal irrigation of 115 acres. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #6) SE¼NE¼, Sec. 22. (NOTE: The location of this well was incorrectly described as being in the SE¼NW¼ of Sec. 22). The present place of use is land which is located in Sec. 22.

995-D authorizes 300 gallons per minute, 213 acre-feet per year for the purpose of continuous domestic supply and seasonal irrigation of 58 acres. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #7) NE¼NW¼, Sec. 23. The present place of use is: That part of S½NE¼NW¼ lying south of C.M. St. P. & I. R.R. and SE¼NW¼; all in Sec. 23.

626-A authorizes 300 gallons per minute, 203 acre-feet per year for the purpose of continuous domestic supply and seasonal irrigation of 58 acres. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #7) NE¼NW¼, Sec. 23. The present place of use is: That part of S½NE¼NW¼ lying south of C.M. St. P. & I. R.R. and SE¼NW¼; all in Sec. 23.

5471-A authorizes 3100 gallons per minute, 3360 acre-feet per year (560 af/yr primary; 2800 af/yr supplemental) for the purpose of continuous municipal supply. This certificate was issued as supplemental supply to GW Certificates Nos. 709-D, 710-D, 711-D and 712-D(897). The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #5) Tract A of Block 6, Plat of Lemon Air Park in the NW½NW¼, Sec. 26. The present place of use is the Community of Veradale.

6672-A authorizes 4000 gallons per minute, 3640 acre-feet per year for the purpose of municipal supply, continuously, from April 1 to September 30, each year. The present point of withdrawal is a well located as follows: #6) SE¹/4NE¹/4, Sec. 22. The present place of use is the Community of Veradale.

NOTE: Some of the above-described instantaneous and annual quantities are supplemental to other rights.

That the present place of use under Certificates Nos. 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 712-D(1-3-445) and 713-D(897) is: Vera Irrigation District #15, Spokane County, Washington.

That they propose to integrate each of the above referenced ten (10) wells and add an additional eleven (11) wells to each of their water rights. Each water right will include the following twenty one (21) wells which are located and numbered as follows: #1)NE¼SE¼, Sec. 15; #21)NE¼SE¼, Sec. 14; #22)NE¼SE¼, Sec. 14; #3)SE¼SE¼, Sec. 22; #33)SE¼SE¼, Sec. 22; #33)SE¼SE¼, Sec. 22; #4)NE¼SW¼, Sec. 26; #5)NW¼NW¼, Sec. 26; #6)SE¼NE¼, Sec. 22; #7)NE¼NW¼, Sec. 23; #8)NE¼SE¼, Sec. 23; #9)NE¼SE¼, Sec. 23; #23) NE¼SE¼, Sec. 14; #24)NE¼SE¼, Sec. 14; #25)NE¼SE¼, Sec. 14; #26)NE¼SE¼, Sec. 14; #34)SE¼SE¼, Sec. 22; #35)SE¼SE¼, Sec. 22; #62)SE¼NE¼, Sec. 22; #63)SE¼NE¼, Sec. 22; #64)SE¼NE¼, Sec. 22; #10)NE¼SE¼, Sec. 23; ALL IN T. 25 N., R. 44 E.W.M.

That they propose to change the purpose of use under 709-D, 710-D, 711-D, 896-D, 626-A and 995-A to continuous municipal supply (EXCEPT for any seasonal irrigation use which will be changed to <u>seasonal municipal supply</u>).

That they propose to change the place of use under all existing water rights to: Area served by Vera Irrigation District No. 15.

Protests or objections to approval of this application must include a detailed statement of the basis for objection; protests must be accompanied by a two (\$2.00) dollar fee and filed with the Department of Ecology, Eastern Washington Regional Office, N. 4601 Monroe, Suite 202, Spokane, WA 99205-1295, within thirty (30) days from:

(Last date of publication to be entered above by publisher

Vera Irrigation District No. 15 Wells and Rights

Well No.	Location	Sec 7	Γwn	Rng	Right Ggpm / Acre Feet Restrictions	Right Ggpm / Acre Feet Restrictions	Right Ggpm / Acre Feet Restrictions	Current Use - Gpm	Current Use - Gpm
1	NE 1/4 of SE 1/4	15	25	44	709-D 7100 / 3893			350HP 3500 Gpm	75HP 500 Gpm
21	NE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 2)	14	25	44	710-D 6000 / 8895 (Moved Legal Wrong)	Application Pending		300HP 3000 Gpm	
22	NE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 2)	14	25	44	710-D 6000 / 8895 (Moved Legal Wrong)	Application Pending		250HP 2500 Gpm	
3	SE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 3)	22	25	44	711-D 6300 / 8895			150HP 2800 Gpm (W / Booster)	150HP 2800 Gpm (W / Booster)
33	SE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 3)	22	25	44	711-D 6300 / 8895 (New Well -Not Listed)	Application Pending		100HP 1000 Gpm	
4	NE 1/4 of SW 1/4	26	25	44	712-D 3400 / 8893 (Irrigation)	Change 1-3-445 (Changed to Municipal)	G3-27084 P 13400 / 10081	150HP 1200 Gpm	
5	NW 1/4 of NW 1/4	26	25	44	713-D 1400 / 8893 (Irrigation)	Change 897 (Changed to Municipal)	5471-A 3100 / 3360 (Community of Veradale)	250HP 2200 Gpm	
6	SE 1/4 of NE 1/4	22	25	44	6672-A 4000 / 3640 (April - September)	896-D 1100 / 365 (Legal Wrong - Land Limited)	G3-27084 P 13400 / 10081	500HP 4000 Gpm	
7	NE 1/4 of NW 1/4	23	25	44	626-A 300 / 203 (Land Limited)	995-D 300 / 203 (Land Limited)			
8	NE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 8-9-10)	23	25	44	G3-27084 P 13400 / 10081			400HP 3800 Gpm	
9	NE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 8-9-10)	23	25	44	G3-27084 P 13400 / 10081			400HP 3300 Gpm	
Totals						0 Gpm 1 Acre Feet per Year		30,600) Gpm

Attachment "A"

Request to change following information regarding:

Permit No. 896-D

- 1. Change permit to reflect current use as shown on Attachment "B".
- 2. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system.
- 3. Change permit to reflect future service, peak pumping and annual water usage.
- 4. Change permit to indicate status as a well field with 4 additional wells (Well No.s 62, 63, 64, 65) to be drilled in the future on this site.
- 5. Change location of well to reflect actual location as listed on this application in the first line of Section 2.
- 6. Change place of use to reflect all areas served by Vera Irrigation District No. 15, State of Washington.

Attachment "D"

Request to change following information regarding:

Permit No. 896-D

- 1. Change permit to reflect future peak pumping of 42,000 GPM.
- 2. Change permit to reflect total withdrawal of 14,000 acre feet per year.


This information will be updated as soon as this section of the long range plan is completed.



Post Application Documents

Document Type Code - 41 (Bar-code 39, Font 48)





601 N. Evergreen RoadP.O. Box 630Veradale, WA 99037-0630(509) 924-3800

February 27, 1997

Ms. Cindy Christian
Water Resources Program
Washington State Department of Ecology
Eastern Regional Office
4601 No. Monroe, Suite 202
Spokane, WA 99205-1295

RE: Applications for Change

Dear Cindy:

Enclosed are several items as we discussed at our last meeting:

- 1. Applications for change for 8 of our permits
- 2. Requests to amend three outstanding applications for change.
- 3. A summary paper of our existing system and plans for the future.
- 4. SEPA checklist for the 8 new applications.
- 5. <u>Not included</u> is an evaluation of the population growth potential for our service area and the resulting final request for 20 year projections for peak pumping and annual withdrawal, we have included an estimate.
- 6. The fees for this proposal.

Please let us know if any of these documents need additional work. We will submit final numbers on the peak pumping and annual withdrawal as soon as we have the final data. Thanks for your help with these changes.

Sincerely.

Kevin M. Wells General Manager

WATER FACILITIES INVENTORY (W.E.)



DATE PRINTED: 02/07/9 DEDATED

Read Instructions on back before completing

DATE UPDATED: 02/06/1

. SYSTEM ID NO.	2. COUNTY		GROUP	TYPE	WRIA	WFIC	OMPLETE	D BY				TITLE	
914505	PPOKANE		A	COMM	57								
3. SYSTEM NAME						DAY	TELEPHON	IE			DATE		
VERA WAT	LEE & DOMEK												
STREET ADDRESS						8. SL	JBMITTED	NEV	W SYSTEM	NO	CHANGE	RE	EACTIVATE
60T M E/	JEPURKEN RU						211	SYS	STEM NAME CHANGE	E* UPI	DATE	DE	ELETE
P.O. BOX (IF APPLICA	ABLE)					.OLD	SYSTEM	VAME - EN	TER ONLY IF CHANG	ING WITH TH	IS WFI		
PO BOX 6	i_(f)												
CITY			SIATE	ZIP CODE		: EVE	rans's	1:1/1/1:15	ANAHESIDE	(महिंस् ।	LE LIVING	TN A.	
CHECAMALI				1 1 1 1	11 1:5	» DWE	HANGE	ERVEDE	Yathe System	COMPLET	ETHIS SE	गानर	A CHARLES
4. OWNER'S NAME ((LAST, FIRST)			OWNER NO).			TIVE RESID	DENTIAL	10. NUMBE	R ACTIVE RE	SIDENTIA	AL.
VERA WAT	ER AND FOWE	R		620	36	"	ONNECTI	ONS					
STREET ADDRESS								523	1		17,52	1	
-7,0 t H ES	the makin bie.												
PO, BOX (IF APPLIE)	APILLA					BALL	TEMB 6	المالالة المراداة	ANY NON HE	SIDENTS	LEATHA	VELER	n. 18
				4			手列を	STUDE	NTS; ETO; ; COM	15-11-11HE	SECTION	44.0	
CITY			STATE	ZIP CODE		11. N	UMBER NO	N-RESIDE	NTIAL CONNECTION	S			
AELVDVPE	3	Ÿ	AV.	9900	37								
5. SYSTEM CONTAC	CT PERSON			TITLE		12. El	NTER AVE	ERAGE D	AILY NON-RESIDEN	ITIAL POPULA	TION		
KEVIN WE	ELLS - GENER	AL MAI	PAGE	IR		SI	ERVED FO	R EACH MO	ONTH. MAKE ENTRY	FOR EACH MO	HTMC		
DAY TELEPHONE		EVENING TEL				IAN		APR		JULY		ост.	
509-924-	- 3860		500	9-922-0	8500	FEB.		MAY		AUG.		NOV.	
6. OWNERSHIP (CHECK ONE O	in of S	7. PREDUM	INANT (CHARACTERIS	tic	MAR		JUNE		SEP		DEC	
(CHECK ONE C	JALLY).3	CHECK	UNE UN	LY)					RVE AT LEAST 25 C				
PRIVATE: NO	N-PROFIT	RESIDE	NTIAL			F	OR 4 OH N	IOHE DAYS	S PER WEEK FOR AT	LEAST 180 D	AYS PEH YEA	MH?	
PRIVATE: FOI	R-PROFIT	and the second s	ATIONAL					YE	S NO				
LOCAL GOVE	And the second s			USTRIAL / L / COMMERCIA	u								
(COUNTY/CIT				D SERVICE			OTAL NUN		350		BUTION RESE	RVOIR(S)
STATE		SCHOO	DL/DAY	CARE		CONNECTIONS METERED TOTAL CAPACITY							
FEDERAL		OTHER	(CHURC	CHES, ETC.)		5.303 3,650,000 GALLONS					GALLONS		
													GITEE STATE
							4						
16. DOH - 17. SO	URGENAME	12.5	er equ	Rel-	o USE 2	0. 216	2.1.2	22,01195	23 SOURCE CAPACITY	zar sounde	LOCATION		
NUMBEH		of a training	UII	EGURY		TREAT	MERT	E DEPTH	CAPACITY	A Pro-		1.1125	- G
LISTU	THEILY'S NAME FOR SOURCE			3 9									
	OURCE IS PURCHASED OR FRITED, LIST SELLER'S ID#			F GAL TREAT		ON ON	z						
ALIEN ALIE	MARCH PRINCIPALICA		:1			5 ?	NC.	(FEET)	(GPM)	1/4, 1/4 \\rac{1}{2}	SEC.	TWP	RNG.
	MENAL SERVICE FINISHE MAPLE 27050Y/SEATTLE		11 - 11 - 35 A.C.	SPRING RANNE: INTERT: PURCHA:	PERMANE SEASCA- EMERCE	NONE CHLCP:	# 10 A						SWTRE
V.1171	1 4 1		WELL				336		1	/ 2. 20	21		
SO1 WEL					.	1000		156	The second secon	NE/SE		25N	44E
SO2 WEL						1 1 1		265		NE/SE		25N	44E
203 WEI 204 WEI		:			. 3			176		SE/SE	The state of the s	25N	44E
SO4 WELL								-150	and the specimen and all	NE/SW		25N	44E
206 WEI					111					UM/HH		25N	44E
AND WEL					. '			10	4,000	SE/UE	1 · 1 ·	25N	4.417.
			, 144		111	://1/	1	~ 1 1"	2 200	17 TT / C1 TT	27 "	15 37	1 4 22
SOS WEL								215	1	NE/SE		25N	44E
COU KMI	The state of the s		.		, У			240		HE/SE	23 2	SN	44E
25			MINIM	UM REQUIRED	Carrier in parameters	The state of the state of	Seaton mitters for	and the same stands	and and and the section				
25.				26. JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN JUL		SEP OCT		1
				20	20	20	30	39	20 20		20 20	1 2	0 20
IO. APPROVED SER		1 7 7				DA	TE OF LAS	ST SANITAR	RY SURVEY! (1)		BY DOH		LHD
	L WATER SUPPLY SERVICE A		YES	NO	GW MGI	MT AREA?		YES	NO FOR LI-				
EFFECTIVE DATE RE	ETRO. CHANGES	SIGNATURE	E OF DO	H REVIEWER		1.0				DA	LE .		

VERA WATER AND POWER WATER RIGHTS - APPLICATIONS FOR CHANGE MARCH 1997

I. Introduction

F 5

This paper has been prepared to complement the applications for change that are being presented at this time and three pending applications for change that need to be amended. These proposed changes to the District's permits, certificates and rights should address the recent changes required by the relocation of Well No. 2, correct errors in existing paper work, integrate the entire system and project the water needs for the District for the next 20 years.

The District experienced a period of activity from 1986 through 1995 where water levels in wells fell to levels making them unusable, pumping facilities were moved from well to well, and where major pumping facilities had to be constructed or relocated. This has resulted in the need for several permits to be modified and new permits to applied for.

During this time we have drilled test wells at several locations to investigate the ability to withdraw water in different locations. We have found that there is limited access to the aquifer at No. 4, No. 5, No. 3, and property we own at 16th and Sullivan. We have found excellent conditions for pumping at No. 2, No. 6 and No. 8-9-10. This has led us to modify our future plans and present the applications for change in their current manner.

II. Existing Use

Exhibit "A" (Next Page) shows the current use of the eleven wells covered by the existing eleven permits. The existing permits total 36,200 Gpm peak pumping, of which the District is using 30,600 Gpm. Although the total actual pump capacity is within the permitted total, the pump capacity at Well field No. 3 actually exceeds the permitted capacity slightly.

Vera Irrigation District No. 15 Wells and Rights

Well No.	Location	Sec '	Гwn	Rng	Right Ggpm / Acre Feet Restrictions	Right Ggpm / Acre Feet Restrictions	Right Ggpm / Acre Feet Restrictions	Current Use - Gpm	Current Use - Gpm
1	NE 1/4 of SE 1/4	15	25	44	709-D 7100 / 3893			350HP 3500 Gpm	75HP 500 Gpm
21	NE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 2)	14	25	44	710-D 6000 / 8895	Application Pending		300HP 3000 Gpm	
22	NE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 2)	14	25	44	(Moved Legal Wrong) 710-D 6000 / 8895 (Moved Legal Wrong)	Application Pending		250HP 2500 Gpm	
3	SE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 3)	22	25	44	711-D 6300 / 8895			150HP 2800 Gpm (W / Booster)	150HP 2800 Gpm (W / Booster)
33	SE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 3)	22	25	44	711-D 6300 / 8895 (New Well -Not Listed)	Application Pending		100HP 1000 Gpm	
1	NE 1/4 of SW 1/4	26	25	44	712-D 3400 / 8893	Change 1-3-445	G3-27084 P 13400 / 10081	150HP 1200 Gpm	
5	NW 1/4 of NW 1/4	26	25	44	(Irrigation) 713-D 1400 / 8893 (Irrigation)	(Changed to Municipal) Change 897 (Changed to Municipal)	5471-A 3100 / 3360 (Community of Veradale)	250HP 2200 Gpm	
5	SE 1/4 of NE 1/4	22	25	44	6672-A 4000 / 3640 (April - September)	896-D 1100 / 365 (Legal Wrong - Land Limited)	G3-27084 P 13400 / 10081	500HP 4000 Gpm	
3	NE 1/4 of NW 1/4	23	25	44	626-A 300 / 203	995-D 300 / 203			
	NE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 8-9-10)	23	25	44	(Land Limited) G3-27084 P 13400 / 10081	(Land Limited)		400HP 3800 Gpm	
)	NE 1/4 of SE 1/4 (Wellfield 8-9-10)	23	25	44	G3-27084 P 13400 / 10081			400HP 3300 Gpm	
Γotals		i				0 Gpm 1 Acre Feet per Year		30,600	Gpm

The maximum annual withdrawal appears to be 10,081 Acre Feet per Year. This amount occurs on Permit No. G3-27084 P. The actual annual use for the entire District peaked at approximately 9,400 Acre Feet per Year in 1994. The total use for the District has exceeded the total permitted amount in the past. However, since the elimination of the unmetered irrigation system and metering of all water in 1985, the peak use has not exceeded the permitted total.

Year	Water Withdrawn
	In Gallons
1985	2,425,995,000
1986	2,416,442,500
1987	2,403,147,300
1988	2,298,448,150
1989	2,127,504,200
1990	2,037,389,600
1991	2,398,292,300
1992	2,252,399,300
1993	2,318,954,000
1994	3,060,806,000
1995	2,380,193,000
1996	2,498,138,000

At this time the water from all of the wells is pumped into a common distribution system, from which all uses take their water. All water used, except for fire protection, is metered. All irrigation, domestic, commercial, industrial water is delivered through meters. Only fire hydrants and fire sprinkler systems are unmetered (sprinkler systems require detection equipment that sets off an alarm if there is any water flow).

All wells are used on a continuous basis except for Well No. 1, which is winterized because the discharge piping is exposed to the elements. There is a plan to insulate this piping so that this pump can be used all year. This well is located at our main office site and would be ideal for standby generation which would run both the pump and our office.

III. Changes Required to Existing Permits

The following table lists the different permits, the well they apply to and the changes that are needed to match the existing use of the facilities:

	Well No.	Appli	cation for Change
709-D	1	a. b.	Change permit to reflect current use of well. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system.
	21 22	a. b. c.	Change permit to reflect current use of well. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system. Change location of well to reflect abandonment of the two old wells and the drilling of the two new wells. (The existing permit only lists one well.)
	3 33	a. b. c.	Change permit to reflect current use of well. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system. Change permit to add second well (No. 33) to this site. County paid for this well as compensation for abandonment of old well at Valleyway and Sullivan.
712-D w/ Change No. 1-3-445	4	a. b.	Change permit to reflect current use of well. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system.
713-D w/Change No. 897	5	a. b.	Change permit to reflect current use of well. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system.

5471-A	5	a. b. c.	Change permit to reflect current use of well. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system. Change place of use from "Community of Veradale" to "the area served by Vera Irrigation District No. 15".
6672-A	6	a. b. c.	Change permit to reflect current use of well. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system. Change time of use to Continuous.
896-D	6	a. b. c.	Change permit to reflect current use of well. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system. Change location of the point of withdrawal to correct location within the SE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Section 22-25-44. The existing permit incorrectly locates this well within the SE 1/4 of the NW 1/4 of Section 22-25-44. Change the place of use to "the area served by Vera Irrigation District No. 15".
626-A	7	a. b. c.	Change permit to reflect current use of well. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system. Change the place of use to "the area served by Vera Irrigation District No. 15".
995-D	7	a. b. c.	Change permit to reflect current use of well. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system. Change the place of use to "the area served by Vera Irrigation District No. 15".

G3-27084P 4 a. Change permit to reflect current use of well.
6 b. Change permit to include all wells and integrate the entire system.
9

VI. Current and Future Service Areas

The maps on page 7 and 8 show the current areas of service and the anticipated areas that will need service in the next 20 years. Vera is currently updating their long range plan. This plan projects 20 years into the future. Vera is using this 20 year criteria in these applications for change to be consistent with the plan.


Over the past couple of years, Vera has had discussions with several individuals and organizations representing land in the area marked as future service. Most of this land has been included in one proposal for water service, some in several. There have been discussions with parts of Mica and Freeman. The local water conditions are worsening and it appears that within the 20 year planning horizon, much of the water for this area will be pumped from the Valley Aquifer.


V. Future Well Sites

Over the last ten years Vera has drilled test wells at property Vera own's at 16th and Sullivan, Well No. 2, Well No. 3, Well No. 4, and Well No. 8-9. The results of these test wells and historical records have shown us that the locations for future wells are limited.

16th and This site showed high clay contents and poor aquifer depth.
Sullivan Wells on this site would have limited production.

No. 2 The new No. 2 site showed extremely good potential for wells, 4 additional wells could be drilled on this site.





- No. 3 This site showed good gravel, but a shallow aquifer.

 Deepening the existing wells, and drilling additional wells on this site would have limited benefit. Any new wells would have limited output.
- No. 4 The test well showed that this site has a very shallow aquifer and that the soil just below the existing hand dug well is mostly clay. There is no potential for new wells and the possibility of deepening the existing well would be limited to just a couple of feet. This well also has water almost twice as hard as the rest of the wells in the District, which limits when the well is used.
- No. 5 This well is surrounded by sand and has pumped sand into the system in the past. No potential for additional wells exists at this location.
- No. 6 This is a large lot in the center of the best test wells, although no test well has been drilled yet, this site has the most potential for additional wells.
- No. 8-9 The test well on this site and the two existing production wells are excellent. There is room for one additional well, No. 10, at this site.

As a result of this information we would like to request the following changes to existing permits to reflect our planned future wells:

Permit No.	Well Field	Future Wells
710-D	2	23,24,25,26
6672-A 896-D G3-27084 P	6	62,63,64,65
G3-27084 P	8-9-10	10

Well drilling schedules and sizes will depend on many factors. :

- 1. Operating economics of many small wells vs. fewer large wells.
- 2. Construction economics of many small wells vs. fewer large wells.
- 3. Cost of power (on peak vs. off peak).
- 4. Construction and operating economics of storage vs. wells.
- 5. Remaining well drilling sites.

VI. Future Demand and Annual Withdrawal

As referenced earlier, the District is currently preparing the update to the long range plan. This plan will look at the land use within the future service area, evaluate the effects of the Growth Management Act and project growth for the next 20 years.

From this information the District expects to identify the potential for future instantaneous needs and for additional annual withdrawal. This information will be finalized within the next couple of months. Until that time we are estimating that the peak demand will be approximately 42,000 Gpm and the annual withdrawal will be approximately 14,000 acre feet per year. Please use this information for these permit applications until such time as the long range water plan is completed and forwarded for your use.

The actual drilling of wells will be based on this information, the economics and operating characteristics of fewer large wells vs. more smaller wells and on the cost of additional storage capacity.

VII. Costs

We understand the costs of these applications are as follows:

Permit	Cost
709-D	\$32.00
710-D	Paid
711-D	Paid
712-D	\$16.00

713-D	\$10.00
5471-A	\$14.00
6672-A	\$18.00
896-D	\$10.00
626-A	\$10.00
995-D	\$10.00
G3-27084 P	Paid
Total	\$120.00

The check for this amount is attached.

VIII. SEPA

An environmental checklist and determination of non-significance has been completed and was included for the pending applications for change to permits no. 710-D, 711-D, and G3-27084 P. The proposed changes to this information is minor, and would not change the determination previously made for these applications. Attached is a draft checklist for the 8 new applications for change.

WATER WELL REPORT

Start Card No. <u>W 0 44854</u>
UNIQUE WELL LD. # <u>A A L 531</u>

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Water Right Permit No.

710-0

(1)	OWNER: Name UERA IRRIGATION DIST # 15 Add	1985 NORTH GOLFUERGREEN PD. DERADDLE LUA		
	LOCATION OF WELL: County SPOKANE STREET ADDRESS OF WELL (or nearest address) SPRINGFIE	NE 1/4 SE 1/4 Sec 14 T. 2.5 NJR 44 W.M. 5LD + SULLIVAN RD		
(2a)				
(3)	PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal Industrial Municipal DeWater Test Well Municipal DeWater Dewa	(10) WELL LOG or ABANDONMENT PROCEDURE DESCRIPTION Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each		
(4)	TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (If more than one)	change of information.		
	Abandoned	GRAVEL + SAND 3" MINUS 0 119		
	Despened ☐ Cable M Driven ☐ Pacconditioned ☐ Rotary ☐ Jetted ☐	A COARSE SAND 119 148		
(5)	DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 6 inches.	A SAND + GRAVEL 2" MINUS 148 171		
(0)	Drilled 300 feet. Depth of completed well 300 ft.	A SAND + GRAVEL 4" MINUS 171 183		
_		* MED SAND 183 190		
(6)	CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:	A SAND + GRAVEL 2" MINUS 190 249		
	Casing installed: 6 Diam. from + 4 ft. to 300 ft. Welded ** Diam. from tt. to ft.	A MED SAND + GRAVEL 1ºMIN 249 293		
	Welded	A FINE SAND 293 296		
		A SAND+GRAVEL 1" MINUS 796 300		
	Perforations: Yes 🖾 No 🗌			
	Type of perforation used MILS KNIFE SIZE of perforations in. by 25 in.	A WATER BEARING ZONES		
	160 perforations from 229 ft. to 249 ft.	A WATER BEARING ZONES		
	perforations fromft. toft.			
	perforations from ft. to ft.			
_				
	Manufacturer's Name			
	Type Model No			
	Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft.			
	Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft.			
_	Gravel packed: Yes No X Size of gravel	(12 m		
	Gravel placed fromtt. tott.			
_	Surface seal: Yes No To what depth? JO It. Material used in seal NEAT CEMENT GROUT	SASTÉSII SAÁISNI I SAS		
	Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes No			
	Type of water? Depth of strata			
	Method of sealing strata off			
(7)	PUMP: Manufacturer's Name			
(8)	WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation			
(0)	above mean sea level 1. Date 4/14/94			
	Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date			
	Artesian water is controlled by(Cap, valve, etc.)	MARCH 24 APRIL 14		
_		Work Started		
(9)	WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level Was a pump test made? Yes No lifyes, by whom?	WELL CONSTRUCTOR CERTIFICATION:		
	Was a pump test made? Yes No If yes, by whorn? Yield: gal./min. with hrs.			
_		I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards. Materials used and		
_	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief.		
_	Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well	NAME HOLMAN DRILLING CORPORATION (TYPE OR PRINT)		
	top to water level)			
	Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	Address E3410 974 AUE SPOITANE WA		
		0 120		
		(Signed) (Signed) (WELL DRILLER) License No. (189		
	Date of test	Contractor's		
	Bailer testgal./min. with tt. drawdown after hrs.	Designation		
	Airtestgal./min. with stem set attt. forhrs. Artesian flowg.p.m. Date			
	Temperature of water 50 Was a chemical analysis made? Yes No 🗵	(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)		

Start Card No. W 044855 UNIQUE WELL LD. # AAL 532

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Water Right Permit No.

710-D

4)	OWNER: Name UERA IRRIGATION DIST # 15 Addr	NORTH GOLEVERGREEN RD. VERADALE WA.
(2)	LOCATION OF WELL: County SPOKANE	NE 1/4 SE 1/4 Sec 14 T. 25 (N). R. 44 W.M.
(2a)	STREET ADDRESS OF WELL (or nearest address) SPRING FIELD	+ SULLIVAN RD.
(3)	PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal	(10) WELL LOG or ABANDONMENT PROCEDURE DESCRIPTION
(4)	Irrigation Test Well Other	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of information.
(4)	TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well 2 - 1	MATERIAL FROM TO
	Abandoned New well Method: Dug Bored Despend Cable New well Driven	GRAVEL + SAND 0 /19
	Reconditioned	* COARSE SAND 119 148
(5)	DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 20 inches.	A SAND + GRAUEL 2"MINUS 148 171
	Drilled 265 feet. Depth of completed well 265 ft.	* SAND + GRAUEL 4" MINUS 171 183
_		* MED SAND 183 190
(6)	CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:	A SANO + GRAVEL 3" MINUS 190 249
	Casing installed: 20 Diam. from +4 ft. to 211 ft.	* MED SAND+GRAVEL 1"MINUS 249 265
	Welded	
	Threeded Diam. from tt. to tt.	
	Perforations: Yes No 🛛	* WATER BEARING ZONES
	Type of perforator used	
	SIZE of perforations in. by in.	
	perforations from tt. to tt.	
	Screens: Yes 🖾 No 🗌	
	Manufacturer's Name	
	Type <u>STAINLESS STEEL</u> Model No. <u>TELFOR</u> Diam. <u>20 Stot size</u> <u>200 from 210 ft. to 7.65 ft.</u>	
_		
	Gravel packed: Yes No Size of gravel	
	Gravel placed fromft. toft.	
	Surface seal: Yes No To what depth? 22 ft.	
	Material used in seal NEAT CEMENT CROUT	DC 1.2 1004
	Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes No No	13. 10. 1.2. 1984 5
	Type of water? Depth of strata	
	Method of sealing strata off	Francis and Councily
(7)	PUMP: Manufacturer's Name	THE PROPERTY OF PROPERTY OF PARTY OF
('/	Type: H.P	
(8)	WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation	
(0)	Static level 10 7 shove mean sea level 1. below top of well Date MBY 25/94	
	Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date	
	Artesian water is controlled by	
_	(Cap. valve, etc.)	Work Started APRIL 15 19.7 Completed MAY 27 19 97
(9)	WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level	WELL CONSTRUCTOR CERTIFICATION:
	Was a pump test made? Yes No not be if yes, by whom? DRILLER Yield: 2506 gal./min. with /13 tt. drawdown after / hrs.	
_		I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards. Materials used and
_	" 3500 " /10" " 4 "	the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief.
_	" 3000 " 60 " 0 "	HOLMAN DOLLING COM
	Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well top to water level)	NAME HOLMAN DRILLING CORVO
	Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	Address E 3410 9TH AUE SPORANE WA
1	414 /07'	R alc m
· ·		(Signed) (WELL DRIFLER) License No. 0189
	Date of test MAY 25 1994	
	Bailer testgal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	Contractor's Registration
	Airtestgal./min. with stern set atft. forhrs.	No. 327, 758 L+1 Date OcT 10 1994
	Temperature of water 50 Was a chemical analysis made? Yes No	(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)
	AND E CHAINCE SHEDYSIS HELDER 160 TO	

Temperature of water 50° Was a chemical analysis made? Yes

WATER WELL REPORT

Start Card No. W 0 4 5 50 2

UNIQUE WELL I.D. # AAL 533

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Water Right Permit No. _

710-D

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

,	OWNER: Name UERA IRRIGATION DIST # 15 Add	NORTH GOI EVERGREEN RD. LIERA!	DALE	UA.
(2)	LOCATION OF WELL: County SPOKANE STREET ADDRESS OF WELL (or nearest address) SPRING-FIELD	NE 1/4 SE 1/4 Sec 14 TZ:	N.)R	44 w.n
2a)	STREET ADDRESS OF WELL (or nearest address)			
3)	PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal Minicipal Municipal Mun	(10) WELL LOG or ABANDONMENT PROCEDURE DESCRIPTION Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry		
(4)	TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well 2 - 2	change of information.		
	Abandoned New well Method: Dug Bored	MATERIAL	FROM	то
	Despened □ Cable 🛣 Driven □	GRAUEL + SAND	0	119
	Reconditioned Rotary Jetted	A COARSE SAND	119	148
)	DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 20 inches.	A SAND + GRAVEL 2"MINUS	148	171
	Drilled 265 feet. Depth of completed well 265 ft.	A SAND - GRAVEL 4" MINUS	171	163
_		A MED SAND	183	190
5)	CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:	* SAND + GRAUEL 2"MINUS	190	249
	Casing installed: O installed: Threaded Diam. from the total ft. to the ft. the ft	A MED SAND + GRAVEL 1" MINUS	249	765
	Perforations: Yes No X			
	Type of perforator used	* WATER BEARING ZON	25	
	SIZE of perforations in. byin.			
	ft. toft.			
	perforations from ft. to ft.			
_	Screens: Yes 🔀 No 🗌			
	Manufacturer's Name JOHNSON			
	Type STAIN LESS STEEL Model No. TELECOP			
	Diam. 20 Slot size 200 from 210 ft. to 265 ft.			
	Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft.	10 5 6 6 0 7 6 50		
_	Gravel packed: Yes No X Size of gravel			
	Gravel placed from ft. toft.	(CT 1.2 PD4		
		DE 001 2 800		
	Surface seal: Yes X No To what depth? 22 ft.			
	Material used in seal NEAT CEMENT GROUT			
	Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes No			
	Type of water? Depth of strata			-
	Method of sealing strata off			
-				
)	PUMP: Manufacturer's Name			-
1)	WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level t,			
	Static level /07 tt. below top of well Date 3 ULy 11/94			
	Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date			
	Artesian water is controlled by(Cap, valve, etc.)	1.115 3 ./11	1	
))	WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level	Work Started JUNE 2 1977 completed JUNE 2	y /2	, 19 4
''	Was a pump test made? Yes No If yes, by whom?	WELL CONSTRUCTOR CERTIFICATION:		
	Yield:hrs.	I constructed and the construction in the fact of the construction in the construction		
_		I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction compliance with all Washington well construction standard		
_		the information reported above are true to my best knowled		
-	99 99 99 99	HOLMAN DOLLING COM		
	Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well top to water level)	NAME HOLMAN DRLING ORI	PRINT)	
	Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	Follo ottal Alm 800		1,10
_		Address F 5410 CTA ME DE	THNE	4/13
_		(Signed) Christoff S. Hotmery Licens	se No. C	89
-		(WELL DRILLER)		
	Date of test	Contractor's		
i.	Baller testgal./min. withtt. drawdown afterhrs. Airtestgal./min. with stem set atft. forhrs.	Registration No. 227,758 Lat Date Oct 10		0
	Artesian flow g.p.m. Date	No. CETTO		_, 19
	77 - 77	THE ADDITIONAL OFFICE AND ADDITIONAL OFFICE		

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

44 T-1-1	110	Dacla			
Date_	1908 19	Cert.			
Recor	d bW. R. Longacre				
Source	Decla Claim of G. W.				
	n: State of WASHINGTON				
Co	unty_Spokane		-15		
Are	ra				
	p			1, 0	
NE	E 1/2 SE1/2 sec 15 T. 25 N., R. 44 E.	DIAGO	AM OF		
Drillin	g Co.				IUN
Add	iress				
Me	thod of Drilling dug	Date	-	1	^
Owner.	vara Irrigation Dist. #	15		1	y
Add	ress Veradale, Wash.				,
Land su	erface, datumft. above below		e ₁ .	0.7	
CORRE- LATION	Material	THICKING (feet)		DEI	
(Traimaterial value da ng log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. turn unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigramaterials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.)	Necessary :	. 1		
(Tran material v surface da ing log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. turn unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigramaterials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.)	Necessary :	. 1		
	no record	Necessary :	. 1		
	no record Test:	Necessary :	. 1		
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6'	Necessary :	. 1		
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI.: 140'	Necessary :	. 1		
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2'	necessary, i Give depths phic column.	. 1		
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2' Yield: 7100 g.p.m. (C1)	necessary, is Give depths phic column,	in pare in feet if feasil	ather below	
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2' Yield: 7100 g.p.m.(C] Pump: 6000 g.p.m. 80	necessary, is Give depths phic column,	in pare in feet if feasil	ather below	
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2' Yield: 7100 g.p.m. (C) Pump: 6000 g.p.m. 80 300 g.p.m.	s necessary, is Give depths phic column,	in pare in feet if feasi	ather below	
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2' Yield: 7100 g.p.m.(C] Pump: 6000 g.p.m. 80	s necessary, is Give depths phic column,	in pare in feet if feasi	ather below	
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2' Yield: 7100 g.p.m. (C) Pump: 6000 g.p.m. 80 300 g.p.m.	s necessary, is Give depths phic column,	in pare in feet if feasi	ather below	
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2' Yield: 7100 g.p.m. (C) Pump: 6000 g.p.m. 80 300 g.p.m.	s necessary, is Give depths phic column,	in pare in feet if feasi	ather below	
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2' Yield: 7100 g.p.m. (C) Pump: 6000 g.p.m. 80 300 g.p.m.	s necessary, is Give depths phic column,	in pare in feet if feasi	ather below	
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2' Yield: 7100 g.p.m. (C) Pump: 6000 g.p.m. 80 300 g.p.m.	s necessary, is Give depths phic column,	in pare in feet if feasi	ather below	
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2' Yield: 7100 g.p.m. (C) Pump: 6000 g.p.m. 80 300 g.p.m.	s necessary, is Give depths phic column,	in pare in feet if feasi	ather below	
	no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWI: 140' Dd: 2' Yield: 7100 g.p.m. (C) Pump: 6000 g.p.m. 80 300 g.p.m.	s necessary, is Give depths phic column,	in pare in feet if feasi	ather below	

File Original and First Copy with Department of Ecology Second Copy — Owner's Copy

Temperature of water \$\sqrt{2}\$ Was a Chemical analysis made? Yes \$\Boxed{1}\$

WATER WELL REPORT

Start Card No. W 045501

UNIQUE WELL I.D. # AAL 534

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Water Right Permit No. _

710-D

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY)

\ OWNER: Name		ress NORTH GOLELERGREEN RD. VERAL	DALE	WA.
	WELL: County SPOKANE ESS OF WELL (or nearest address) / CTH + EV	SE 1/4 SE 1/4 Sec 22 T. 2.) (NIR	1.W.1
2a) STREET ADDRI	ESS OF WELL (or nearest address) / C/A + E/			
3) PROPOSED US	Irrigation	(10) WELL LOG or ABANDONMENT PROCEDURE D		
	□ DeWater Test Well □ Other □	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with a change of information.		
4) TYPE OF WOR	(If more than one)	MATERIAL	FROM	то
Abandoned [New well Method: Dug Bored Driven Driven Driven Driven □	COARSE SAND	0	10
	Reconditioned Rotary Detted	SAND + GRAUEL 3 MINUS	10	160
5) DIMENSIONS:	Diameter of well 20 inches.	A SAND+GRAVEL 3"MINUS	160	198
Drilled 257		BOULDER	198	201
Dillied 5 1	rear. Depth of completed well	A SAND + GRAVEL 3" MINUS	105	254
6) CONSTRUCTIO	ON DETAILS:	COARSE GRAVEL 3"MINUS	254	256
Casing installed:	: 20 " Diam. from + 4 ft. to 210 ft.	GRANITE ROOK	756	52
Welded ⊠ Liner installed □	" Diam. fromft. toft.	GRANIE TOUT	C-7 (6)	63
Threaded	* Diam. fromft. toft.			
		A 1.0 ===================================		
Pertorations: Ye		A WATER BEARING ZON	ES	
	sedin. byin.			
SIZE of perforations				
perio	orations from th. to the transfer of the trans			-
	orations from ft. to ft.	2		
Screens: Yes	No 🗌			
	ne JOHNSCIN			
	DINLESS STEEL Model No. ELESCOP			
Diam. 20 Slot s		7 7 9 7 7 7 7 7 7		
Diam. 20 Slot s	size 250 from 217 ft. to 257 ft.			
Gravel packed:	Yes No Size of gravel			
Gravel placed from		DET 1 1 1004		
		361 - 34		
Surface seal: Ye			-	
	ALAT CEMBNI GROUT			
Did any strata conte	ain unusable water? Yes 🗌 No 🔀		-	-
	Depth of strata		-	-
Method of sealing s	strata off		-	
		1,844		
	acturer's NameH.P.		-	
Type:	n.r.			
8) WATER LEVEL	S: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level tt.			
Static level	159 tt. below top of well Date AUG 24/94			
Artesian pressure _	ibs. per square inch Date			
Artesian	water is controlled by (Cap, valve, etc.)			
		Work Started JULY 16, 1994 Completed SEP	T 10	, 19 <u>9</u>
	Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level	WELL CONSTRUCTOR CERTIFICATION:		
Was a pump test m				
Yield:	gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction		
11	11 11 11	compliance with all Washington well construction standard the information reported above are true to my best knowled		
91	11 11 11			
	e taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well	NAME HOLMAN DRILLING CORPORATION) (TYPE OF	7	
top to water level) Time Water La	evel Time Water Level Time Water Level			
		Address E 3410 9TH AUE SPOKA	NE U	18.
		O CICALO	01	00
		(Signed) (Livery License) (WELL DRILLER)	se No. (2)	01
Date of te				
Bailer test	gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	Contractor's Registration		
	gal./min. with stem set at ft. for hrs.	Registration 7, 758 L+1 Date (CCT)	10	1999
Artesian flow	g.p.m. Date			

No 🛛

ATER WELL REPORT STATE OF WASHINGTON



Application No.
Permit No. G 3-27084

1) OWNER: Name DERA WATER & LOWER	Address BOX G30 SPORANE WA
LOCATION OF WELL: County SPOKANE	_SE 1/2 SEL Sec. Z ZT.Z5N. R44WM
3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal	(10) WELL LOG:
Irrigation Test Well X Other	
4) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well if more than one) TEST well #3	MATERIAL FROM TO
New well Method: Dug Bored	(SPANIE) SE NUN PALILLE IN 129
Deepened ☐ Cable ☑ Driven ☐ Reconditioned ☐ Rotary ☐ Jetted ☐	(200 - id 5: 1/2 - 130 150
	BOULDER # 159:162
5) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well inches. Drilled 250 ft Depth of completed well 350 ft.	
Drilled 250 ft. Depth of completed well 250 ft.	JANU COURSE A 193 171
6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:	GRAVEL + JAND 1"MINUST 197 212
Casing installed: 6 "Diam. from + 4 ft. to 250ft.	GRAUEL + SAND Z"MINUS * 212 250
Threaded Diam. from ft. to ft.	
Welded 🔀 " Diam. from ft. to ft.	
Perforations: Yes No D	
Type of perforator used MILLS ITNIFE	* INDICATES WATER BEARING
SIZE of perforations in by 2 in 200 ft.	ZONE DATES WATER BEARING
perforations fromft. toft	
Screens: Yes D No M	
Manufacturer's Name	
Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft.	
Diam Slot size from ft, to ft.	
Gravel packed: Yes No X Size of gravel:	
Gravel placed from ft. to ft.	
Surface seal: Yes No To what depth? 20 ft.	
Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes [] No [2]	K
Type of water? Depth of strata	
Method of sealing strata off	DE BUENE
7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name	
Туре:	
8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level	
tatic level 154.5 ft. below top of well Date 2-1-90	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
rtesian pressure	
Artesian water is controlled by(Cap, valve, etc.)	
O) THE I TESTS. Drawdown is amount water level is	•
lowered below static level	Work started TAN 11 1996. Completed FEB 9 1996
Vas a pump test made? Yes \(\) No \(\) If yes, by whom?	THE TENDER TODGE COMA PREMIUM TO THE
retu: gat/min. with it. drawdown area in a	
n n n	true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
decovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level	11 D.= 2
measured from well top to water level) Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	NAME HOLMAN URILLING CORD
	Address = 3410 914 AUF
	R 1 0 11 -
Date of test	[Signed] (Invold & Helman
Bailer test /3 gal/min. with O ft. drawdown after f hrs	(Well Driller)
Artesian flowg.p.m. Date	License No. O. 189 Date 3-4
emperature of water	19.1.

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

WELL I	LOG	No. Declay. #	695
Date_1		Cert. #7	
	W. R. Longacre		
	W. Decla. Claim		
Location	State of WASHINGTON	2	
Coun	ty Spokane		
Area			
Map			
	1/2 SE1/2 sec. 22 T. 25 N., R. 44	E. DIAGRAM O	F SECTION
	Co	W.	
	ess		
Meth	nod of Drilling dug	Date	19
Owner_	Vera Trrigation Dist Veradale, Wash.	#15	
Addr	Veradale, Wash.		
Land sur	fuce, datumft. above		
	Delow —		
CORRE-	MATERIAL	THICENESS (feet)	DEPTH (feet)
ing log of	materials, list all casings, perforations, screens,	BEC.)	
	no record		
Pump	Test:		
	Dim: 175' x 56"		
	SWI: 145'		
	Dd: 3'		
	Yield: 6300 g.p.		
	Pump: Centrifuga		
	irrigation: 300		
	Motor: 300 hp, e	lastric, 30	hp,
	electric		
Turn up		Sheet of	sheets

TATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

WELL LOG	No. Decl	8. #997-
Date May 20 , 1920		t. #896-D
Record by John E. Gray		
Source G. W. Decla. Clai	m	
Location: State of WASHINGTON		> 2
County Spakane		
Area		
Map		
SE 1/4 NW 1/4 sec. 22 T. 25 N., R.	44 E. DIA	MAM OF SECTION
Drilling Co.	vv.	
Address		
Method of Drilling dug	Date_Ms	y 15 19 47
OwneVera Irrigation Co.		. No.
Address Opportunity, Was	h	
Land surface, datumft. above below		
DEIOW		
CORRE- LATION MATERIAL	THIC	DEPTH (feet)
ing log of materials, list all casings, perforations, scree	ins, etc.)	
no record		
Pump Test: 99' x 98"		
SWL: 771		
Dd: 1½'		
Yield: 1850 g.p.	-	
Casing: 98" dia.		acronata
from 0' to 38':		
casing from 38		
steel casing from		
Pump: Pomona 10"		
Motor: 75 hp. el		
The state of the s		
Turn up	Sheet	of sheets

Appl. 9128 Per. 8689

Turn up

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

WELL			
Record	by Driller	1	
Source	by Driller Driller's record		
	n: State of WASHINGTON		0
	unty Spokane		
	pa.		
Ma	p		
SE	1/4 NE 1/4 sec. 22 T.25 N., R. 44E. E.	Diagram of	Section
Drilling	Co. Holman Drilling Corp. W. E. 3410 9th Spokane, Washi	••••••••	
Ad	dress E. 3410 9th Spokane, Washi	ngton	
Me	thod of Drilling cable Date		, 19,
Owner.	Vera Irrigation District #1	5	
bA.	dress Veradale, Washington		
Loude	unface datum 4 above		
Lanu s	urface, datum ft. above 87.5 Date May 6 1968	~·····	
SWL:	Date	Dims.:	*************
CORRE-		From	To
LATION	MATERIAL	(feet)	(feet)
If mater below lar if feasible	inscribe driller's terminology literally but raraphrase as ial water-bearing, so state and record static level if reput-desurface datum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate we. Following log of materials, list all casings, perforation domestic supply and irrigation		pths in feet ohic column.
	0-99 drilled by others		
	gravel 2" minus *	00	110
	" 10" minus *	99	110
	" 4" minus *	110	120
			128
	Boulders	128	130
	gravel 4" minus *	130	133
	" 1" minus *	133	140
	4 " minus *	140	150
	" 10" minus *	150	160
	* water bearing		
	Casing: 24" from +2' to 134.5	gage	.375
1	Screen: johnson stainless stee	1 24" t	elescor
	24" slot size 165 from 134'	to 139	
	24" slot size 187 from 139'		
	24" slot size 200 from 144"		

Sheet.....of.....

...sheets

WATER WELL REPORT STATE OF WASHINGTON



Application No.

1) OWNER: Name I ERA WATER + N GOL EVERGREEN VERADALE Address...) LOCATION OF WELL: County... -SENNEW Ser 23 T25N RH SPORANE Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner 300FT WEST OF INTESPECTION OF SULLIVAN T (10) WELL LOG: (3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic

Industrial

Municipal Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of formation. Irrigation [Test Well [Other Owner's number of well (if more than one).... (4) TYPE OF WORK: MATERIAL Method: Dug New well Bored [A 49 GRAVEL Cable DA Driven [Deepened 99 100 Reconditioned [Rotary | Jetted | 100 (5) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well inches. BAUBL Drilled 210 ft. Depth of completed well 310 ft. COARGE SAND-130 1 A4 GRAVEL (6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS: MED SAND + 1" Casing installed: 6" Diam. from 1.5 ft. to 210 ft. 189 GRAVEL Threaded [." Diam. from ft. to ft. Welded 🗹 Perforations: Yes No D Type of perforator used MILLS KNIFF SIZE of perforations in. by 3 in. BO perforations from 188 ft. to 208 ft. SIZE of perforations perforations from ft. to ft. perforations from ft. to Screens: Yes | No | Manufacturer's Name Model No.... Type..... INDICATES WATER READING STRATI Diam. Slot size from ft. to Diam. Slot size from Gravel packed: Yes | No 20 Size of gravel: Gravel placed from ft. to ft. Material used in seal NEAT CEMENT Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes \sqcap No X Type of water?..... Depth of strata...... APR 2 3 198 Method of sealing strata off..... (7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name... DEPARTMENT OF COULDBY Туре: Land-surface elevation (8) WATER LEVELS: above mean sea level.... .ft. below top of well Date......lbs. per square inch Date... Artesian pressure Artesian water is controlled by..... (Cap, valve, etc.) Drawdown is amount water level is (9) WELL TESTS: lowered below static level Work started JAN 20 1987. Completed FEB 11 1987 Was a pump test made? Yes \(\square\) No \(\mathbb{X} \) If yes, by whom?... WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT: Yield: gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs. This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is ** true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well top to water level) HOLMAN URILLING Water Level | Time Water Level (Person, firm, or corporation) SPORANE Date of test [Signed] Bailer test.....gal./min. with.....ft. drawdown after...g.p.m. Date... Artesian flow..... License No.

WATER WELL REPORT STATE OF WASHINGTON

Stard cd # 31253

Applica	tion	No.				•••••
Permit	No.	9- 3	-	270	7	P.

3

(1) OWNER: Name VERA WATER + COWER	Address Po. Box 630 UERADALE	= WA	99037
LOCATION OF WELL: County SPORAME	_ NE 4 SE 4 Sec. 23 T 3	56) R.	44wm
Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner			
(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal	(10) WELL LOG:		
Irrigation Test Well Other	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each ch	he materi	al in each
(4) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well 4 9	MATERIAL	FROM	TO
New well X Method: Dug Bored Deepened Cable X Driven	SAND + GRAVEL J" MIN	0	116
Reconditioned Rotary Jetted	* SAND + GRAVEL 2"MINUS	116	176
	A CEMENT GRAVEL & SAND		
(5) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 20 inches.	HARD	176	193
Drilled 240 ft Depth of completed well 240 ft	A GRAVELY SAND 2" MINUS	193	231_
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:	A CEMENTED SAND + GRAVEL	231	235
Casing installed: 20" Diam. from # 2 ft. to 190 ft.	A GRAVEL & SAND ?"MINUS	235	240
Threaded \(\) \(\) Diam. from \(\) ft. to \(\) ft.			
Welded 7 "Diam. from ft. to ft.			
Parforations			
Perforations: Yes No Type of perforator used		ARING	
SIZE of perforations in. by in.	STRDIA		
perforations from ft. to ft.			
perforations from ft. to ft.			
perforations from ft. to ft.			
Screens: Yes No 🗆			
Manufacturer's Name JOHHSON			
Type STAINLESS STEEL Model No JELESCHOE			
Diam. 20 Slot size 150 from 190ft to 240ft.			-
Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft.			
Gravel packed: Yes No 🕱 Size of gravel:			
Gravel placed from ft. to ft.			
Surface seal: Yes No To what depth? 20 ft.			
Material used in seal NEAT CEMENT			
Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes \(\scale \) No \(\scale \)			
Type of water? Depth of strata			
Method of sealing strata off			
(7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name			
Type: H.P			
(8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level			
Static level //5 ft. below top of well Date Z-12-91			
Artesian pressure			
Artesian water is controlled by(Cap, valve, etc.)			
		1,675	
(9) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level	Work started NOU 29, 1990. Completed FE	EB 15	1991
Was a pump test made? Yes No ☐ If yes, by whom? DRILLE ?			
Yield: 2500 gal./min. with • 75 ft. drawdown after / hrs.	WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT:		
3000 1,4.3	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction a true to the best of my knowledge and belief.	ind this	report is
7:300	and to the best of my knowledge and benef.		
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well top to water level)	NAME HOLMAN DRILLING	Panis	2
Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	(Person, firm, or corporation) (I	ype or b	rint)
5 MIN 115	Address E 3410 9+4 AUE S	POITA.	NE WI
	1 21 811	9	505 4
Date of test 2-12-91	[Signed] Unald & The	am	an
Bailer test gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	(Well Driller)		
Artesian flow g.p.m. Date. Temperature of water 49° Was a chemical analysis made? Yes No	License No. 0189 Date MARC	SH A	19.91
			, ~~

ATER WELL REPORT STATE OF WASHINGTON

Application No. Per 63 27084

1) OWNER: Name UERA WATER + POWER	Address N GOI EVERGREEN VERADALE WA
LOCATION OF WELL: County SOAKANE	55 WE W Sec 23 725N R 244WM
	EST OF INTERSECTION OF SULLIVAN +8THS
(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal	(10) WELL LOG:
Irrigation Test Well Other	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and
Trigation Test Wen Other	show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of formation.
(4) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one)	MATERIAL FROM TO
New well Method: Dug Bored	GRAVEL 3" MINUS 0 68
Deepened	BOULDER AT 52'TO 55'
Reconditioned Rotary Jetted	GRAVEL 3" MINUS 68 115
(5) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 20 inches.	GRAVEL 3" MINUS * 115 170
Drilled 215 ft. Depth of completed well 215 ft.	GRAVEL 3" MINUS +
CONCERNICATION DETINIC	COARSE SAND # 170 215
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:	
Casing installed: 20 Diam from + 2 ft. to /65ft.	
Threaded" Diam. from ft. to ft. Welded" Diam. from ft. to ft.	
weided M Diani. Hom It. to	
Perforations: Yes No	
Type of perforator used	A INDICATES WATER READING STRAT
SIZE of perforations	
perforations from ft. to ft.	
perforations from	
G	
Screens: Yes No D No DOHNSON	
Type TELESCOPE Model No. STAINLESS	
Diam. 20. Slot size 1.50 from 165 ft. to 198 ft.	2 7
Diam. 20. Slot size 125 from 198 ft. to 215 ft.	APR 2 3 1987
Gravel packed: Yes No Size of gravel:	MI II
Gravel packed: Yes No Size of gravel:	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
	SPOKANE REGIONAL OFFICE
Surface seal: Yes No To what depth? 20 ft.	2 LOWER TITLES
Material used in seal NEAT CEMEN	
Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No \(\subseteq \)} \) Type of water? Depth of strata	
Method of sealing strata off	
(7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name	
Туре:	
(8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea levelft.	
Static level 1/2 ft. below top of well Date 4-2-87	
Artesian pressurelbs. per square inch Date	
Artesian water is controlled by(Cap, valve, etc.)	
(0) WEII TECTS. Drawdown is amount water level is	
lowered below static level	Work started FER 17 , 1987. Completed APRIL 6 , 1987
Was a pump test made? Yes No I If yes, by whom? DRILLER. Yield: 2500 gal./min. with 0 / N. 2. drawdown after 2 hrs.	WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT:
" 3500 " IFT 4IN " 6 "	
" 4500 " IFT 81H " 7.25"	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level	
measured from well top to water level)	NAME HOLMAN DRILLING CORD
Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	(Person, firm, or corporation) (Type or print)
10350 112	Address E 3410 9TH AUE S POKANE WA
	G C C C
Date of test $4-2-87$	[Signed] Church & Holman
Bailer testgal./min. withft. drawdown afterhrs.	(Well Driller)
Artesian flowg.p.m. Date	1 day 1 21 0-
Temperature of water. Was a chemical analysis made? Yes No	License No. 0/07 Date APRIL 21, 19.8,
4/23/87 (USE ADDITIONAL SE	
CUSE ADDITIONAL SE	ieets if necessary)

WATER WELL REPORT STATE OF WASHINGTON



Application No.

Permit No. 6.3-27084

3

(1) OWNER: Name UFRA WATER + POWER	Address BOX 630 SPURANE WA.
	_ NE 4 SW 4 Sec 26 T 25N, R 44EWM
Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner	1
(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal	(10) WELL LOG:
Irrigation Test Well Other	Formation: Describe by color character size of material and structure, and
(4) TITLE OF TIODES Owner's number of well	show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of formation.
(4) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well #2	MATERIAL FROM TO
New well Method: Dug Bored Deepened Cable Moriven	SAND + GRAVEL 3"MINUS 0 68
Reconditioned	SAND COURSE 68 125
(5) DIMEDICIONG	SAND MED TOPINE 125 135
(5) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 6 inches. Drilled 250 ft Depth of completed well 250 ft.	SAND + GRAUELZ "MINUS # 135 194
Drilled 2.3.0 it. Depth of completed well 0.3.0 it.	SAND HED TO FINE * 194
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:	TRACES OF CLAY 218
Casing installed: 6 " Diam. from + 2 ft. to 250 ft.	SAND FINE TRACE OF CLAY 218 242
Threaded Threaded tt. to ft.	SANDY CLAY 242 244
Welded X Diam. from ft. to ft.	SAND FINE SOME CLAY # 244 250
Perforations: Yes 🔉 No 🗆	
Type of perforator used MILLS /Y MIFE	
SIZE of perforations in. by in.	
20 perforations from 175 ft. to 180 ft.	
perforations from	
perforations from ft. to ft.	* INDICATES WATER BEARING
Screens: Yes No X	ZONE
Manufacturer's Name	2011
Type Model No.	
Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft. Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft.	
Diani. Stot size	
Gravel packed: Yes No X Size of gravel:	
Gravel placed from ft. to ft.	
Surface seal: Yes No To what depth? 20 ft.	
Material used in seal MEAT CEMENT	
Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes \(\) No (\)	
Type of water? Depth of strata	F C P B D W E
	3050
(7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name #/A	
Type: H.P.	
(8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level	
Static level /33 ft. below top of well Date /-8-90	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
Artesian pressure	SPOKANE REGIONAL OFFICE
Artesian water is controlled by(Cap, valve, etc.)	
(9) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level	Work started DEC 12, 1989 Completed TAN 10, 1990
Was a pump test made? Yes No If yes, by whom?	WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT:
Yield: gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	
n n n n	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level	
measured from well top to water level) Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	NAME HOLMAN DRILLING CORP (Person, firm, or corporation) (Type or print)
	Address E3410 9TH AUE SPOKANE 4
Date of test	[Signed] Church & Holman
Bailer test 10 gal/min. with 0 ft. drawdown after 4 hrs.	(Well Driller)
Artesian flow	License No. 0189 Date 3 - 2. , 1990

STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES
Appli. #7938

VELL 1	LOG		7	(1)		
Record	by	******************		0		
Source						
		WASHINGTON pokane			_2	6
			1			
NW	1/4 NW 1/4	sec 26 T.25 N., F	44 E.	Dia	agram o	f Section
Drilling	Co	10 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		********	****	******
Add	iress					
Met	thod of Dri	lling Dug rrigation Dis	Date			19
Owner	Vera I	rrigation Dis	trict #1	2		******************
Add	dress 601	North Evergr	een Road	, Ve	rada.	le, Wasi
Land st	urface, dati	ımft.a	bove			
SWL	157' 11"	Date March	1 19 6	6 Di	ms.: 6	x 190
CORRE-		MATERIAL			From (feet)	(test)
(Tra If materi below lan	nscribe driller al water-bear d-surface dat . Following le		but raraphrase static level if icated. Correla	as necessaries with	d. Give	n parentheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
		's terminology literally ing, so state and record um unless otherwise ind og of materials, list all c	but l'araphrase static level if icated. Correls seings, perfora	as necreported with tions, so	essary, i d. Give stratigs rrens, a	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
			but l'araphrase static level if icated. Correla asings, perfora	as necereporte with tions, so	essury, i d. Give stratign creens, e	n paranthese depths in fee aphic column tc.)
	Domesti	's terminology literally ing, so state and record um unless otherwise ind og of materials, list all c	but l'araphrase static level if icated. Correla asings, perfora	as necreporte to with tions, so	essury, i d. Give stratign creens, e	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
	Domesti	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all a c supply		as necresported with the triangle of triangle	essury, i d. Give stratigs rrgens, e	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record um unless otherwise ind og of materials, list all control of the control of	rbine		essury, i d. Give stratigr rreens.	n paranthese depths in fec aphic column tc.)
	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all a c supply	bine		resery, i	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all concerns and the state of the state	bine		resury, i	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all concerns and the state of the state	bine		resary, i	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all concerns and the state of the state	bine		resury, i	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all concerns and the state of the state	bine		resury, i	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all concerns and the state of the state	bine		resary, i	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all concerns and the state of the state	bine		resury, i	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
(Tra If materi below lan if feasible	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all concerns and the state of the state	bine		resury, i	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
(Tra If materi below lan if feasible	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all concerns and the state of the state	bine		essary, i	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)
(Tra If materi below lan if feasible	NO LOG	's terminology literally ling, so state and record am unless otherwise indog of materials, list all concerns and the state of the state	bine		resury, i	n parantheses depths in fee aphic column tc.)

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

WELL !	LOG No. P	clay. #	09.
Date	1912 19 C	ert. #7	13-D
	by W. R. Longacre		1
	G. W. Decla. Claim		
ocation	: State of WASHINGTON		4
Cour	nty Spokane	2	
Map			
NW	1/ NW 1/2 sec. 26 T. 25 N., R. 44 E.	DIAGRAM O	F SECTION
	Co		, 10 to 5 1
	ress		
Met	hod of Drilling dug Da	ite	19
	Vera Irrigation Distric		
	ress Veradale, Wash.		
	rface, datum ft. above		
	below		, ,
0		THICKNESS	DEPTH
(Tran	MATERIAL nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Cutum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.)	necessary, in p dive depths in feature column, if feature	arentheses. I set below land suble. Follow
(Tran	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. (atum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.)		
(Tran naterial v surface da ng log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. (atum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.)		
(Trannaterial verifice dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Cutum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.)		
(Trannaterial vurface dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Curtains there was indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) no record Test: Dim: 170 x 6		
(Transaction) (T	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Catum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) No record Test: Dim: 170 x 6 SWL: 140		
(Trannaterial verifice dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Curtain unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6' SWL: 140' Dd: 1'	necessary, in p live depths in fe nic column, if fe	
(Trannaterial verifice dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Curtain unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) No record Test: Dim: 170' x 6" SWL: 140' Dd: 1' Yield: 1400 g.p.m.	necessary, in p live depths in fe nic column, if fe	
(Trannaterial vurface dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Cutum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) No record Test: Dim: 170! x 6 SWL: 140 Dd: 1! Yield: 1400 g.p.m. Pump: Centrifugal, 14	necessary, in p jive depths in fe nic column, if fer	
(Trannaterial vurface dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Curtain unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) No record Test: Dim: 170' x 6" SWL: 140' Dd: 1' Yield: 1400 g.p.m.	necessary, in p jive depths in fe nic column, if fer	
(Trannaterial vurface dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Cutum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) No record Test: Dim: 170! x 6 SWL: 140 Dd: 1! Yield: 1400 g.p.m. Pump: Centrifugal, 14	necessary, in p jive depths in fe nic column, if fer	
(Trannaterial vurface dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Cutum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) No record Test: Dim: 170! x 6 SWL: 140 Dd: 1! Yield: 1400 g.p.m. Pump: Centrifugal, 14	necessary, in p jive depths in fe nic column, if fer	
(Trannaterial voluntace dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Cutum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6" SWL: 140' Dd: 1' Yield: 1400 g.p.m. Pump: Centrifugal, 14 Motor: 75 hp, electri	necessary, in p jive depths in fe nic column, if fer	
(Trannaterial voluntace dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Cutum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6" SWL: 140' Dd: 1' Yield: 1400 g.p.m. Pump: Centrifugal, 14 Motor: 75 hp, electri	necessary, in p live depths in fe nic column, if fe	arentheses. I set below land amble. Follow
(Trannaterial verifice dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Cutum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6" SWL: 140' Dd: 1' Yield: 1400 g.p.m. Pump: Centrifugal, 14 Motor: 75 hp, electri	necessary, in p live depths in fe nic column, if fe	arentheses. I set below land amble. Follow
(Trannaterial voluntace dang log of	nscribe driller's terminology literally but paraphrase as water-bearing, so state and record static level if reported. Cutum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stratigraph materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.) no record Test: Dim: 170' x 6" SWL: 140' Dd: 1' Yield: 1400 g.p.m. Pump: Centrifugal, 14 Motor: 75 hp, electri	necessary, in p live depths in fe nic column, if fe	arentheses. I set below land amble. Follow

WATER WELL REPORT STATE OF WASHINGTON



Application No. Permit No. 27084

(1) OWNER: Name UERA WATER & POWER	Address N GOI EUFRGREEN UE	RADAL	= WA
") LOCATION OF WELL: County SPOKANE PT	TRACT 197- 14 NE 14 Sec 26 T.2	5 N. R.4	4 EW.M.
	oB'W of SW COR of PT TRACT		SRA
(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal	(10) WELL LOG:		
Irrigation Test Well A Other	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of materia show thickness of aquifers and the kind and nature of t stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each c	he materia	i in each
(4) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well # 8 A	MATERIAL	FROM	то
New well Method: Dug Bored	GRAUEL + SAND I" MINUS	0	130
Deepened ☐ Cable ☑ Driven ☐ Reconditioned ☐ Rotary ☐ Jetted ☐	COARSE SAMO + GRAVEL I"MIN	130	14/7
Reconditioned Rotary Jetted	COARSE SAND	141	157:
(5) DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well inches.	PER GRAVEL + SOND	157	1647
Drilled 260 ft. Depth of completed well 260 ft.	MED PINE SAND	164	1732
(c) CONCERNICIPION DEMANIC FIREIL	COARSE SAND	173	1937
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS: WELL ABANDONED	FINE SAND + CLAY	193	2197
Casing installed: Diam. from ft. to ft.	COARSE SAND	2/9	7295
Threaded Diam. from ft. to ft.	FINE SAND + CLAY	229	260
Welded Diam. from ft. to ft.	THE SHAD CERT		700
Perforations: Yes No Type of perforator used	A WATER BEARING ZONE		
SIZE of perforations in. by in.	CASING PULLED - WELL	DROW	MALES
perforations from ft. to ft.	- NOTAL TOLDETS - OFFE	みしみれば	PHE
perforations from	WELL BACKFILLED WIT	74	
perforations from ft. to ft.	-6. 65.		
Screens: Yes No	FROM 260 FT TO 135	PAT	
Manufacturer's Name		ACED	
Type Model No	FROM 13.SET TO 122 F	7	
Diam Slot size from ft. to ft.	CLORINATED DEA GRAL	IEI	
Diam. Slot size from ft, to ft.	EDAM 127 ET TO 30 ET		
Gravel packed: Yes No No Size of gravel:	DRILLING MUD PLACED	SPAN	4
Gravel placed from ft. to ft.		IRFAC	
CfI			
Surface seal: Yes No To what depth? ft.			
Material used in seal			
Type of water? Depth of strata			
Method of sealing strata off	Des II be again.		
(E) DYDED.	the state of the s	= 2	
(7) PUMP: Manufacturer's Name			
Type:	001 - 9 1986		
(8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea levelft			
Static level 130 ft. below top of well Date 9/16/96	uchikalizat of Euclosy		
Artesian pressure	SPHERAF PERMULA AVER		
Artesian water is controlled by(Cap, valve, etc.)			
(9) WELL TESTS. Drawdown is amount water level is			
lowered below static level	Work started 9/3 1986 Completed 9	126	1986
Was a pump test made? Yes No I If yes, by whom?	WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT:		
y y y	·	1 41 1	
n	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction true to the best of my knowledge and belief.	and this r	eport is
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level			
measured from well top to water level) Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	***************************************	ORP	int)
	Address E 3410 974 AUE S	POKA	VE W
	P 01 8 41 0	·	
Date of test	[Signed] Churchel C Holy	nau	
Bailer testgal./min. withft. drawdown afterhrs. Artesian flowg.p.m. Date	(Well Driller)		
Temperature of water	License No. Q 189 Date 9/3	24	, 19.86
101. 1 111/4	HEETS IF NECESSARY)		
ECY 050-1-20			3

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

WELL I	LOG		CTS #1	
Date	1912 , 19	Ce	rt. #	712-D
Record b	W D T	_		1.87
	G. W. Decla Claim			
		-		1
	State of WASHINGTON			la
Coun	ty Spokane	-		
		-		
	T T	-		
	SW / sec 26 T. 25 N., R. 44 F	i.	DIAGRAM C	FECTION
-	Ca,			•
	ess			1 1 1
	nod of Drilling dug			19
	Vera Irrigation Dist.			
Addr	ess Veradale, Wash.			
	face, datumft. above below			
	Delow			1
CORRE-	Material		THICKNESS (feet)	DEPTH (feet)
	no record			f.
came				
-ump	Test: 162.6' * 6'			
	SWL: 132.6'			1
	Dd: 3'			
	Yield: 3400 g.p.m. Pump: Thrbine, 2000			
		- ,	Damas.	Centr
	1400 g.p.m.		a. ME	lam
	Motor: 150 hp, elec	100	c; 75	np,
	electric			-
				-
urn up	S	heet	of	shee

VERA WATER AND POWER DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE WAC 197-11-970

Description of proposal:

Revision of Water Rights 709-D, 712-D w/change no. 1-3-445,

713-D w/change no. 897, 5471-A, 6672-A, 896-D, 626-A, 995-D, to reflect current use, future plans and integrate the entire

system.

Proponent:

Vera Water and Power

Location of proposal, including

street address, if any:

Non-Project Action

Lead agency:

Vera Water and Power

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request

Please comment within 30 days of the date of DNS.

Responsible official: Kevin M. Wells, General Manager

Phone:

(509) 924-3800

Address:

P.O. Box 630 / N. 604 Evergreen, Veradale, Washington 99037

Date 2.27.97

Signature

You may appeal this determination to the District's Board of Directors by filing in writing with the district an appeal no later than April 8, 1997.

Your appeal will be heard at the regular meeting of the Board of Directors scheduled for:

Time: 7:00 p.m.

Date: April 9, 1997

Place: District Office.

Place: District Office.

You should be prepared to make specific factual objections. Contact Kevin Wells at 924-3800 to read or ask about the procedures for appeals.

VERA WATER AND POWER ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), Chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help identify impacts from the proposal and to help decide whether an EIS is required.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project:

Revision of Water Rights 709-D, 712-D w/change no. 1-3-445, 713-D w/change no. 897, 5471-A, 6672-A, 896-D, 626-A, 995-D, to reflect current use, future plans and integrate the entire system.

2. Name of applicant:

VERA WATER & POWER

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Kevin Wells P.O. Box 630 N. 601 Evergreen Veradale, Washington 99037-0630

4. Date checklist prepared:

February 27, 1997

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Washington State Department of Ecology

6. Proposed timing or schedule (include phasing if applicable):

Application for change and associated paper work will be submitted spring of 1997.

7. Are there any plans for future additions, expansion or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

The remaining three water rights of the district have pending applications for change and the associated SEPA documents have been filed.

9. Are there any applications pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by this proposal? If yes, explain.

The remaining three water rights of the district have pending applications for change.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be required for this proposal.

Washington State Department of Ecology will have to approve the applications for change.

11. Give a brief, complete description of the proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site.

This is a non project action. The only purpose is to revise existing Water Rights 709-D, 712-D w/change no. 1-3-445, 713-D w/change no. 897, 5471-A, 6672-A, 896-D, 626-A, 995-D, to reflect current use, future plans and integrate the entire system.

12. Give detailed location of the proposal, including any maps that are available.

The water rights are for several withdrawal points in the Spokane Valley area, within the area served by Vera Irrigation District No. 15.

- B. Environmental Elements
- 1. Earth
 - a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolly, hilly, steep slopes, other:

b. What is the steepest slope on the site in percent slope?

Not Applicable.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site, use classification of agricultural soils and note any prime farmland.

Not Applicable.

d. Are there any surface indications or history of unstable soils in the vicinity? If so, describe.

Not Applicable.

e. Describe the purpose, type and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate the source of fill.

Not Applicable.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, describe.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after the project construction.

Not Applicable.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Not Applicable.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during the construction and when the project is completed? If any, describe and give quantities if known.

Not Applicable.

b. Are there any off-site emissions or odor that may affect the proposal? If so, describe.

Not Applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to the air, if any:

Not Applicable.

3. Water

- a. Surface
 - 1. Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site?

2. Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to the described waters? If yes, please describe.

Not applicable.

3. Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wet lands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of the fill material.

Not applicable.

4. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Not Applicable.

5. Does the proposal lie within the 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Not Applicable.

6. Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, explain.

Not Applicable.

b. Ground

1. Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

2. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any. Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Not Applicable.

c. Water Runoff

1. Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any. Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Not Applicable.

2. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, describe.

Not Applicable.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any.

Not Applicable.

4. Plants

a.

deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
shrubs
grass
pasture
crop or grain
wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other
water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

other types of vegetation

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
 Not Applicable.
- c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Not Applicable.

5. Animals

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: hawks, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

Not Applicable.

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

Not Applicable.

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

Not Applicable.

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Not Applicable.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Not Applicable.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

- 6. Energy and Natural Resources
 - a. What kinds of energy will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

b. Would the project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, describe.

Not Applicable.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Not Applicable.

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Not Applicable.

1. Describe special emergency services that might be required.

Not Applicable.

2. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any.

- b. Noise
 - 1. What types of noise exist in the area which may affect the project?

2. What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Not Applicable.

3. Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Not Applicable.

- 8. Land and Shoreline Use
 - a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

 Not Applicable.
 - b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

Not Applicable.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

 Not Applicable.
- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

 Not Applicable.
- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

 Not Applicable.
- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive " area? If so, specify.

Not Applicable.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

Not Applicable.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
 Not Applicable.
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

 Not Applicable.
- 1. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with the existing and projected land use and plans, if any:

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Not Applicable.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Not Applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Not Applicable.

Not Applicable.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas. What is the proposed principal exterior building material(s)?

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

Not Applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

Not Applicable.

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No increase in hazards or further degradation of views should result from this project.

- What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect the proposal?
 Not Applicable.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:
 Not Applicable.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Not Applicable.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

Not Applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project, if any:

Not Applicable.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

a. Are there any places or objects listed on or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registrars known to be on or next to the site? If so, describe.

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

Not Applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

Not Applicable.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans.

Not Applicable.

b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Not Applicable.

c. How many parking spaces would the project have when completed? How many would the project eliminate?

Not Applicable.

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe.

Not Applicable.

e. Will the project use water, rail, or air transportation? If so, describe.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

Not Applicable.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

Not Applicable.

15. Public Service

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services? If so, describe.

Not Applicable.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

Not Applicable.

16. Utilities

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.

Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility b. providing the service and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Not Applicable.

Signature C.

Name:

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature

Date: 2-27-97

VERA WATER AND POWER SUPPLEMENTAL CHECKLIST FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

D. Supplemental Checklist for Nonproject Actions

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The alteration of the water rights to reflect the existing conditions and to integrate the system will have no affect on the environment. This action will simply reflect existing operating conditions. These conditions have resulted after several years of construction, drought response and changing water conditions.

The inclusion of the property that we have purchased for future well sites and the identification of the potential wells will have no affect. This property is owned by Vera and is currently used for storage, parking or landscaping. No current use will change as a result of including these sites in our permits. If any actual proposals to drill wells are made, they will require their own, individual environmental checklists and determinations of significance.

The inclusion of the projections for 20 year needs for instantaneous and annual withdrawal rates will not alter the environment. These projections will not change the amount of water pumped over the next twenty years by one single gallon. The projections are simply a reflection of current zoning rules, population change projections and the local economy. This will simply provide a planning tool for the agencies responsible for coordinating water use.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

Not Required.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

The proposal will not degrade the conditions faced by the local wildlife, no construction is anticipated in this action. The permits indicate future possibilities, should any of these become reality, it will require the completion of an environmental review at that time.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

Not Required.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

No action is contemplated is this application. Should any action be required in the future, it will require the completion of an environmental review at that time, which will review energy requirements.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

Not Required.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

No.

Proposed measures to protest such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Not Applicable.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

No action is contemplated is this application. Should any action be required in the future, it will require the completion of an environmental review at that time, which will and land uses.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts:

None.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

No.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

None.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

No conflict is anticipated.

LIST OF FFECTED AGENCIES

SEPA Check List and Determination sent to these individuals/agencies for this action.

Washington State Department of Ecology Environmental Review Section Mail Stop PV-11 Olympia, WA 97504-8711

Ms. Susan Winchell, Planner Boundary Review Board 721 North Jefferson St. - Room 401 Spokane, WA 99260-0040

Mr. Tom Davis Spokane County Planning Department 1026 West Broadway Spokane, WA 99260-0040

Mr. Bruce Rawls, Director Spokane County Utilities Division 1026 West Broadway Spokane, WA 99260-0040

Mr. Bill Johns, County Engineer Spokane County Engineering Division 1026 West Broadway Spokane, WA 99260-0040

Environmental Health Spokane Regional Health District 1101 West College Avenue Spokane, WA 99260

Mr. Thomas Wells Washington State Department of Health Water Supply and Waste Unit 924 West Sinto Avenue - Room 300 Spokane, WA 99201